

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 0-18958

Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Utah

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

87-0489865

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**2640 W. California Avenue
Salt Lake City, Utah 84104-4593**

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(801) 973-0177**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: **None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, No Par Value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). The registrant has not yet been phased into the Interactive Data reporting system.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's second fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2008 was \$1,536,000.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's no par value Common Stock as of July 30, 2010 was 171,416,289.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

None

Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc.
Annual Report on Form 10-K
Year Ended June 30, 2009
Table of Contents

	<u>Page No.</u>
Part I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	16
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 2. Properties	21
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	22
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)	
Part II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	23
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	25
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	35
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	36
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	36
Item 9A(T) Controls and Procedures	36
Item 9B Other Information	37
Part III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	38
Item 11. Executive Compensation	42
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	44
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	46
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	47
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	47
Signatures	52

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING THE FILING DATE OF THIS REPORT AND THE ANTICIPATED FUTURE FILINGS OF ADDITIONAL PAST-DUE REPORTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 is first being filed in July 2010. The Company is in the process of closing its accounting records for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 and plans to prepare and file its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 at the earliest practicable date. Shareholders and others are cautioned that the financial statements included in this report are over one year old and are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that may be expected for the year ending June 30, 2010.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Going Concern Qualification

The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on our 2009 audited consolidated financial statements addresses an uncertainty about our ability to continue as a going concern, indicating that our operating losses and lack of working capital raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. At June 30, 2009, we had total current liabilities of \$115,573,000 and current assets of \$253,000, resulting in a working capital deficiency of \$115,320,000. At June 30, 2009, we had a total stockholders' deficit of \$123,060,000. There can be no assurance that management's efforts to adequately capitalize the Company or attain a successful level of operations and cash flows will be successful. In light of our current financial position and the uncertainty of raising sufficient capital to achieve our goals, the Company's viability as a going concern is uncertain.

Reduced Level of Operations

Following delays in our Heliplane program for the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ("DARPA"), lower than anticipated results from sales of our SparrowHawk kits, and negative conditions in capital markets, in May 2008 we effected a substantial reduction in force and significantly scaled back the level of our operations.

The negative cash flow consequences resulting from delays in the Heliplane program, made it necessary for us to resign our prime contractor position on the DARPA contract, after successfully completing Phase IA. Accordingly, in January 2009, DARPA on our recommendation announced the award of the Heliplane prime contractor position to the Georgia Institute of Technology ("GT") for Phase IB, with the Company engaged as a GT subcontractor for the rotor systems work. As of the date of this Report, Phase IB has been completed successfully, but DARPA has not yet announced funding for Phase II.

The decision in May 2008 to cease production of the SparrowHawk recognized that the prospects of reaching a satisfactory profit level in a deteriorating economic climate were not promising. As importantly, it recognized that the kit aircraft business, aimed at customers for their personal use, could not be readily compatible with the design, manufacture and marketing of more sophisticated aircraft required by our prospective military and commercial customers. Therefore, as described under *Company Products*, we have focused our SparrowHawk efforts toward selling the

program to a buyer with more compatible operating conditions and strategic interests, thus allowing us to concentrate our limited financial resources on research and development of more advanced fully assembled aircraft.

Our cost-cutting measures together with DARPA Phase IB revenues, allowed us to continue to move forward with the development of our technology on a sharply reduced scale. However, as described in detail in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation, in October 2008, the Company entered into a Note Purchase Agreement with the holders of our Series B Preferred Stock ("Series B Holders") that provides for the periodic sale by the Company to the lenders of short-term promissory notes to provide the Company with funding to cover its minimum cash needs in excess of funding provided by payments from GT for the Company's work on the DARPA contract as specified in the draw requests for such notes. The draw requests must be approved by the lenders and the lenders are not obligated to purchase any notes. While the GT payments have been paid in full, the Series B Holders have continued to cover minimum cash needs with monthly funding. Furthermore, as described under *Company Products*, they have contributed materially to our efforts to establish joint ventures or other strategic relationships that will allow us to fund the development and commercialization of our technology. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts, or that this funding will continue.

Although we are currently operating at a significantly reduced level, we have presented in this annual report detailed disclosure of the history of the development of our technology, opportunities for commercialization of our technology in its various forms, the regulatory environment that we operate in and other discussions of our business.

Background

Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. (the "Company") or ("GBA") was originally incorporated in the State of Utah on July 28, 1980 as New Wave Energy. On October 23, 1990, the name of New Wave Energy was changed to Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., and under this name, the Company became a fully reporting public corporation (stock symbol "GNBA") to facilitate the raising of capital. Hereafter, the "Company" refers to the registrant, Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. ("GBA") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. ("GBA USA"), Groen Brothers Aviation International, LLC, and, from December 2002 through November 2004, American Autogyro, Inc. ("AAI"). Effective November 1, 2004, we merged AAI into GBA USA. Groen Brothers Aviation International, LLC ("Groen Brothers International") was formed as a special purpose subsidiary in July 2010 to participate in a possible future Chinese joint venture. Unless otherwise stated, the financial activities described herein are those of GBA USA, which currently is the sole operating entity of the Company.

As further discussed in this annual report, following delays in our Heliplane program for the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ("DARPA"), lower than anticipated results from sales of our SparrowHawk kits, and negative conditions in capital markets, we recently effected a substantial reduction in force and have significantly scaled back the level of our operations.

The initial objective of the Company, primarily through GBA USA, was to develop and market an easy-to-fly and cost-efficient gyroplane that could compete effectively in the general aviation market. Initially, personal funds of David and Jay Groen were used to build a proof-of-concept aircraft incorporating a design for the first collective pitch controlled semi-rigid teetering rotor system for a gyroplane. This first prototype aircraft flew successfully in 1987, and as a result,

we were able to obtain the support of private investors to begin the development of our second prototype gyroplane, the one-seat Hawk 1. Following the successful flight of the Hawk 1 in 1992 we proceeded with the design of our third prototype, the two-seat Hawk H2X, which first flew in February 1997, incorporating a unique airfoil rotor-blade design enabling a smooth vertical takeoff at a world record-breaking density altitude for gyroplanes.

At this point, management recognized that the opportunities for gyroplanes, and for the Company, extended well beyond the original general aviation market objective, and had broad potential for commercial, governmental and military applications. Our focus was thus reoriented to the design of a larger four-seat gyroplane, the Hawk 4, intended for Federal Aviation Administration (“FAA”) certification with a wide range of potential commercial and public use applications. The development of the Hawk 4, the smaller two-seat SparrowHawk and our subsequent entry into the military market are reviewed in the later section, *Company Products*.

Gyroplane and Gyrodyne Technology

Autorotative flight was developed in 1919 by Spanish aviator, Juan de la Cierva, with the objective of eliminating the risk of stalling inherent in all fixed wing aircraft when forward speed drops below a critical speed. De la Cierva named and trademarked his invention as the “autogiro,” which means “self turning” or “autorotation.” The rotary wing of a gyroplane¹, however, powered in flight only by the onrushing air, much like a windmill, will not stall. A reduction in forward speed will not result in a sudden loss of lift. As speed decreases, a gyroplane will begin to descend, right side up and controllable, as its rotating wing continues to provide lift with the upward flow of air driving the rotor. This provides the gyroplane with an important inherent safety advantage over a conventional airplane for activities requiring low altitude and low speed operations. In a low level surveillance role, such as law enforcement, border patrol, traffic control, etc., proper procedure for all rotorcraft is to circle in a slow orbit, something we believe the Hawk 4 Gyroplane and SparrowHawk Gyroplane can do efficiently and safely.

For such low, slow flying missions, a gyroplane has a similar safety advantage over a helicopter. The helicopter obtains its lift from its engine-powered rotor blades pulling the air downwards, creating an upward force on the rotor, enabling the helicopter to hover. This, however, also makes the aircraft unstable and difficult to fly since a loss of power to a helicopter rotor will cause an immediate loss of lift. Only with sufficient forward speed or altitude will a skilled and practiced pilot have sufficient time to put a helicopter into autorotation and thus make a controlled landing while operating as a gyroplane, although without the benefit of rotor blades optimized for autorotative flight. A helicopter is also more mechanically complex than a gyroplane, requiring additional safety-critical systems, notably a transmission between engine and main rotor, and a tail rotor with its gearbox, needed to offset the torque in the system created by the powering of the rotor in flight. For these reasons, a gyroplane, not requiring a tail rotor or complex transmission because its rotor is not driven by its engine, is inherently safer, simpler, quieter, and easier to operate while much less expensive to maintain than a helicopter.

The one material advantage of a helicopter over a gyroplane is its ability to hover, which is necessary in some situations such as sea rescue, sling-load work, or landing in uneven terrain. For air surveillance and point-to-point flying, the inability to hover is not a disadvantage. Helicopters at low

¹ Gyroplane is an official term now designated by the FAA to describe an aircraft that gets its lift from rotor blades and its thrust from an engine-driven propeller either in front, the tractor configuration, or at the rear, the pusher configuration.

altitude, out of ground effect, will whenever possible avoid hovering because of the danger inherent in doing so. When power fails in a helicopter, the pilot must convert from powered flight to autorotative flight to keep the rotor blades turning. This is an unforgiving process, requiring a skilled, practiced, accurate, and rapid reaction by the pilot and it requires a minimum altitude and/or airspeed to be performed safely. If a power failure occurs when a helicopter is operating with insufficient altitude or speed, specifically if operating within the “height-velocity” curve shown on graphs in the helicopter’s flight manual (informally known as the “dead man’s curve”), the pilot will not be able to avoid a crash landing.

In summary, gyroplanes in flight, being in constant autorotation, are much safer in low and slow flight than either airplanes or helicopters. Airplanes flying low and slow risk a stall/spin crash, which cannot happen in a gyroplane, while helicopters losing power in the same conditions can face an unrecoverable condition as they lose lift. If power fails in a gyroplane, however, the autorotation continues and the aircraft can be guided softly to the ground from virtually any altitude.

The gyrodyne is a derivative of the gyroplane that, as the name implies, has a powered rotor that enables the gyrodyne to hover and to take-off and land vertically. Gyrodynes differ from helicopters in that their rotors are powered, not by the main engine, but by tip-jets which are normally used only for takeoff, landing, and hovering. In forward flight the tip-jets are turned off and the aircraft operates as a gyroplane with the attendant advantages of safety, reliability, and economy. Since the rotor is not driven mechanically by torque from the main engine located in the aircraft fuselage, a gyrodyne, like a gyroplane, does not require a tail rotor with its complexity and maintenance requirements.

Interrupted History of the Gyroplane

In the 1920s and 1930s, following Spaniard Juan de la Cierva’s successful flights of his invention, the autogiro (gyroplane), Harold Pitcairn and his colleague Walter Kellett, under license from Cierva, designed and built a series of gyroplanes in America which eventually made vertical takeoffs and landings. Their efforts resulted in the autogiro concept proving commercially successful in many applications during the 1930s and early 1940s. An outstanding example was its use by the U.S. Postal Service for nearly ten years to deliver mail from the roofs of post offices. Hundreds of flights carrying mail were performed by Kellett and Pitcairn gyroplanes flying in Camden, Philadelphia, Chicago, New Orleans, Washington, D.C., and other cities.

Funding for development of aircraft for the private market had collapsed in the years of the Great Depression and in the build up toward World War II, the main source of investment in aviation came from the U.S. military. At the time, Igor Sikorsky, who was an important designer of transport airplanes for the government, recognized the potential of a helicopter to the military. After licensing rotor technology from Pitcairn, he convinced the U.S. military to invest in the helicopter as the next logical step in the evolution of rotorcraft, promising more versatility for military purposes than the gyroplane. This commitment to the helicopter effectively ended government funding for technical development of the gyroplane.

After World War II, there was a brief and modest resurgence as investors enabled three private companies to develop two-seat commercial gyroplanes that were certified by the FAA: the Umbaugh (later the Air & Space 18A), the Avian (a Canadian design of that same period that reached FAA certification, but was never produced), and the McCulloch J-2. In each case, as an expedient to FAA certification, the designers adapted helicopter rotors and blades and thus did not fully use the

gyroplane technology created by their 1930s predecessors. As a result, none of these civilian gyroplanes performed well and their companies failed.

More significantly, during the 1950s, Igor Bensen, who had been a principal in General Electric's rotary wing program after World War II, developed a home-built open-frame gyroplane kit for amateurs, which he called the "gyrocopter." Stemming from this initiative, home-built kits, mostly seating one person, became popular with enthusiasts and more than a dozen small manufacturers have produced and sold hundreds of kits.

The technical development of the helicopter necessary to achieve the potential of hovering flight was, however, much more difficult and took far longer than the military expected. Real utility was not fully attained until the middle of the Vietnam War and then only after billions of dollars had been spent developing turbine-powered helicopters with sufficient payload to move large numbers of troops and equipment into and out of the jungle.

History of Company Products

GBA Hawk 4 Gyroplane

The first pre-production piston-engine version of the four-seat Hawk 4 flew in September 1999, followed by the turbine-engine version in July 2000². This latter aircraft, powered by a Rolls-Royce Model 250 420shp turboprop engine, was developed to become our first major production aircraft. It incorporates rotor blades optimized for autorotative flight and our patented rotor head with infinitely variable collective pitch control. This enables the pilot to optimize the rotor blade pitch to the existing conditions and attain a smoothly controlled ultra-short ground roll for both take-off and landing. The turbine engine further contributes to the reliability, maintainability, payload and low operating cost characteristics of the aircraft. Based on the superior operating performance of the aircraft with the gas turbine engine, we proceeded with our FAA certification program based on that engine for a variety of commercial uses.

We believed that the safety, reliability, maneuverability and low operating cost of the Hawk series gyroplanes would permit them to perform competitively with helicopters (and airplanes) for many missions requiring low, slow flight, particularly where the absence of a requirement for a runway for take-off or landing is a critical advantage. Potential customers include the following:

1. Law enforcement (police, sheriff, border patrol, customs, and drug interdiction).
2. Public service agencies (fire patrol, medical transport, wildlife and land management).
3. Military (courier, armed surveillance, VIP transport, forward artillery control, ground attack, unmanned aerial vehicle).
4. Commercial (oil, gas, and power line patrol and inspection, land survey, aerial photography, crop spraying, herd management, air taxi service, corporate transport, and flight training).
5. Private (commuting, sport flying, training).

We flew the Hawk 4 Gyroplane in several hundred incident-free sorties and hundreds of hours of flight time in its pre-certification flight-test program in the following two years. While substantial progress was made in the lengthy and expensive certification process, it required significant capital

² The piston-powered Hawk 4, although with space for a pilot and four passengers, was limited by payload to four occupants. The more powerful turbine version can carry a pilot and four passengers.

and we recognized that it would continue to require significant capital to complete its design, certification, and production potential. However, the adverse affects on the venture capital market and the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, severely constrained our funding capabilities, necessitating a substantial cut back in operations in October 2001.

Although we had been able subsequently to demonstrate the unique capabilities of the Hawk 4 Gyroplane through its participation in the official security arrangements for the 2002 Winter Olympics, we suspended flight testing of the Hawk 4 during the first quarter of fiscal 2003 due to lack of financial resources. Further development toward commercial certification of the Hawk 4 was deferred pending the obtaining of the funding necessary to complete it.

We have continued to believe that there is a substantial and potentially profitable market for the Hawk 4 and its derivatives³ for commercial use in the USA and foreign countries. We have had conversations with representatives of several countries with respect to setting up a joint venture to certificate, manufacture, and sell the Hawk series of gyroplanes. To date, this effort has not produced results, but there continues to be significant interest from foreign entities to bring the Hawk series into production. There can be no assurance, however, that we will ultimately succeed in attracting investment sufficient to enable a foreign joint venture.

The most serious interest in this project was coming from Spain with that country presenting an attractive combination of market potential, available skills and funding sources, and favorable legal and political environment. As a result, we had been diligently seeking to set up a JV in Spain through which the Hawk series could be certified under FAA and European regulations. The objective, in broad terms, is for GBA to provide the JV with its Hawk Gyroplane technology and oversee and participate in the certification program (on commercial terms), while the other partners, governmental and industrial, would provide funding, manufacturing, and other resources.

As early as fiscal 2004, after favorable technical recommendations from a Spanish due diligence team, we believed that such an agreement with a mid-size Spanish aerospace company as the industrial partner was close at hand. At a late stage, however, that company decided that in the very poor economic climate in the aerospace industry at that time, it would cut back its investing in aerospace in favor of more profitable non-aerospace activities.

In fiscal 2007, however, we obtained renewed interest from the government of Aragon, an Autonomous Community within Spain, and entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Aragon to set up a JV. Meetings with representatives of CDTI⁴, the investment arm of the central Spanish government's Ministry of Industry, Tourism and Commerce, were favorable and CDTI asked Aragon and other potential partners to enter into the formal process for funding requests. Later in 2008, however, Aragon underwent a change in government leadership and interest in the Hawk Gyroplane project was lost. While interest from three other Autonomous Communities within Spain showed considerable promise, the recession that began in 2008 severely impacted the Spanish economy, putting the project on indefinite hold. We are therefore pursuing other more encouraging opportunities to exploit Hawk technology through Joint Ventures in Asia that would take advantage of advances in technology that we have made since the Hawk 4 was designed. These efforts are described under *GBA Hawk 6 Gyroplane* below. While we can give no assurance that any such JV

³ As described later, with design and analysis tools utilized during the Heliplane program, the Hawk 4 Gyroplane has undergone a redesign study that indicates that the Company should develop a six passenger version of the Hawk series

⁴ Center for Development of Technology for Industry

will be established, we continue to regard Spain as one of many promising markets for potential JV products.

GBA Hawk 6 Gyroplane

The technology of Hawk 4 Gyroplane, the world's first turbine-powered gyroplane, promised to be highly competitive in its markets in the early years of the 21st Century. Since that time, GBA's technology has advanced substantially and rotorcraft technology from potential competitors has also progressed. As a result, GBA is now in a position to design gyroplanes that benefit from the use of composite materials that in conjunction with advanced aerodynamic analytical techniques permit both substantially lower aircraft weights and reduced drag. Furthermore, GBA can now offer a much superior airfoil design for its rotor blades than that offered by the Hawk 4 blades. In addition to these gains from GBA, engine manufacturers and other suppliers also offer improved component performance. Together, these advances enable gyroplane designs that maintain the inherent advantages demonstrated by the Hawk 4, of simplicity, safety, low operating cost and high utilization compared to other types of rotorcraft, while offering increase in speed, range, payload and vertical take-off capability. Subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2009, GBA has assessed the evolving rotorcraft market and its competitors in the context of these new performance capabilities and thus believes that a six-passenger advanced gyroplane could be best suited to the current market place. The Company has initiated its design for the Hawk 6 to compete in this market and has entered into discussions with potential Joint Venture partners in Asia related to its production.

We can give no assurance that any such Joint Venture will be achieved nor that the Hawk 6 will ultimately be produced.

SparrowHawk Gyroplane and Derivatives

While recognizing in fiscal year 2003 the infeasibility of continuing at that time with FAA certification of the Hawk 4 Gyroplane, we also noted that the general aviation kit-plane market, which does not require the costly certification process required for commercial operation of the Hawk 4, still lacked the safe, economical, easy-to-fly gyroplane that we originally intended to produce. In the opinion of management, the kit-plane market could expand significantly as a result of our entrance into that market. Although hundreds of kit-built small gyroplanes have been produced by a variety of manufacturers over many years, for the most part, we believed these gyroplanes did not incorporate a full understanding of gyroplane dynamics and that this contributed to the fact that fatality statistics of kit gyroplanes did not reflect the inherent safety of the gyroplane. Because we recognized our important vested interest in the reputation for safety of gyroplanes in general, management believed that both to protect the reputation of the gyroplane and to take full advantage of an underserved market, we should enter this market.

To take advantage of these opportunities through utilization of our knowledge of gyroplane dynamics and aerodynamics built up over the years, we established American Autogyro, Inc. ("AAI") in December 2002. AAI started with the design and manufacture of a modification kit to enhance flight stability for another manufacturer's home-built gyroplane and initiated delivery of such a kit in April 2003. We reported our first revenues in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, largely from AAI flight training and the sales of these modification kits. However, effective November 1, 2004, to reduce administrative expense we merged AAI into GBA USA and GBA USA took over the manufacturing, sales and marketing, and customer support functions of the SparrowHawk.

We began deliveries of SparrowHawk kits during the third quarter of our fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, recording initial revenues from this aircraft in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004. During the years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we reported revenues from the sale of SparrowHawk kits and parts of \$720,000 and \$562,000. Additional revenues were also received from the sale of modification kits, flight training and from contract manufacturing; however, these revenue sources have not been significant to the Company.

In December 2005, we announced the introduction of our improved SparrowHawk model, the SparrowHawk II. SparrowHawk II offered added comfort and robustness and reduced the time and effort needed by the customer to build the aircraft. We continued to design improvements for the SparrowHawk II during fiscal year 2007, and in fiscal year 2008 introduced a new version called the SparrowHawk III Quick Build. As the name implies, the primary objective for the “Quick Build” is a significant further reduction of time and effort on the part of the purchaser in building the aircraft while maintaining conformity with FAA regulations. Careful attention was paid to existing customer input and to the needs of potential customers. Through a thorough assessment of the build process and changes in the design manufacture and product delivery, the time to assemble the kit by a typical purchaser was expected to be in the order of 300 hours, cutting build time in half. Deliveries of the Quick Build kit began in October 2007.

While the SparrowHawk had become dominant in its category and we had achieved our objective of creating an understanding within the gyroplane kit industry of the critical safety factor that had previously led to accidents, the kit program continued to be a financial drain on the Company. We had experienced a negative gross profit on sales of Sparrow Hawk kits and the number of SparrowHawk kits sold had fallen below expectations, due in part to lack of funding to finalize product development and to pay for increased sales and marketing efforts. In these circumstances, prospects of reaching a satisfactory profit level in a deteriorating economic climate were not promising. As importantly, we determined that the kit aircraft business, aimed at customers for their personal use could not be readily compatible with the design, manufacture and marketing of more sophisticated aircraft required by military and commercial customers. Therefore, in May 2008, the Board decided to cease production of the SparrowHawk and to seek to sell the program to a buyer with more compatible operating conditions and strategic interests, thus allowing the Company to concentrate its limited financial resources on research and development of more advanced fully assembled aircraft.

During fiscal year 2005, the FAA announced the establishment of a new category of aircraft, called Light Sport Aircraft (LSA), which permits manufacturers to produce and sell small, non-complex, fully assembled aircraft without the necessity of fulfilling the requirements for an FAA “Type-Certificate.” While helicopters have been excluded from the LSA category as being too complex, gyroplanes are included, initially in a sub-category defined as Experimental Light Sport Aircraft (E-LSA). We have petitioned the FAA for a “deviation” from the regulation that would permit us to produce and sell complete aircraft that meet the LSA standards, with the expectation that the experience gained and demonstrated will justify full LSA authorization for gyroplanes. The Experimental Aircraft Association (EAA) filed with the FAA a “letter in support” of our petition for deviation, but as of the date of this report, the FAA had not taken any action.

Management believes a large market is likely to arise within the United States for fully assembled LSA gyroplanes if the FAA eventually approves their operation. We believe that our technology would be well suited to this market and be capable of conforming to the anticipated new regulations. We have therefore initiated the design of a new light gyroplane, called the SportHawk for private flying that would meet those LSA regulations and a sister aircraft called the ShadowHawk as a

patrol aircraft, principally for public agencies. We believe that the production of complete aircraft, such as the SportHawk and ShadowHawk rather than the SparrowHawk in kit form, will enable us to maintain quality control over the finished product, eliminate the delay between delivery and in-service dates, and overall enable the product and Company to be more effectively branded.

As previously noted, we identified an important untapped potential market for the Hawk Gyroplane series as a patrol and surveillance aircraft, both in the United States and overseas. We also recognized that both the fully assembled SparrowHawk and the ShadowHawk as smaller professionally designed aircraft with low purchase and operating costs that can operate “off airport” and are easy to maintain and fly, would have important applications for patrol surveillance. Under so-called “Public Use,” such applications do not require commercial certification when operated by Federal, state and local agencies across the nation, particularly Homeland Defense. This is particularly the case in parts of the world where skilled helicopter pilots and maintenance personnel are not readily available. For this reason, we believe the SparrowHawk or its derivatives sold as a fully assembled aircraft could be expected to be popular with law enforcement agencies around the world.

Our discussions with the Office of Domestic Preparedness (“ODP”) on gyroplane usage have emphasized the merits of the SparrowHawk as a readily available, ultra-low cost air surveillance vehicle. Similarly, discussions have been held with the Department of Defense (“DOD”) for the use of gyroplanes for mine and bomb detection to counter those threats in Iraq and Afghanistan, emphasizing again its low cost and early availability in relation to other solutions.

We have held meetings with several agencies in China in relation to the use of the SparrowHawk and its technology in China, and in May 2009, entered into a non-binding Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a Chinese Company, with the objective of setting up a joint venture (“JV”) in China to produce fully-assembled Light Gyroplanes, focused on production of SparrowHawks, initially for power line patrol. Negotiations related to this MOU subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2009 resulted in a non-binding “Terms and Conditions” agreement for the setting up of the JV and, as of the issuance of this report, an agreement has been reached and agreed to by both parties. Signing of this agreement by both parties is expected shortly. Under the terms of this anticipated agreement, the parties will prepare an application to the appropriate government authorities to set up the JV. Upon the establishment of this JV, the Company, through its special purpose subsidiary, Groen Brothers International, expects to receive 25% of the equity in the JV in return for its contribution to the JV of its SparrowHawk III assets and rights to SparrowHawk designs and defined SparrowHawk derivatives. We can give no assurance that the parties will obtain approval from the relevant Chinese authority to establish the JV.

The DARPA “Heliplane” Gyrodyne Contract

We also believe that the knowledge and experience that we had gained from our work with the Hawk 4 had made us an authority on gyroplane technology and that in the post 9/11 defense environment this technology had substantial military potential. It could serve in a wide variety of roles ranging from gyroplanes as unmanned air vehicles (“UAV”s) and to gyrodynes as heavy lift Vertical Take-off and Landing (“VTOL”) transports and as high speed rotorcraft. Additionally, the technology could be further developed to enable the design of runway independent commercial aircraft.

We believe our technology to be fully scalable and readily adaptable to the gyroplane’s derivative form, the gyrodyne. As detailed earlier, the gyrodyne is a rotary wing aircraft that uses

rotor blade “tip-jets” for short duration power permitting pure vertical takeoff and landing, and providing the capability to hover like a helicopter. During the en-route portion of the flight the tip-jets are turned off and the gyrodyne flies as a gyroplane in autorotation. Such an aircraft can be capable of both lifting substantial payloads in gyrodyne mode and covering substantial range as a gyroplane. The British Fairey Rotodyne aircraft demonstrated the technical validity of this concept in the 1960's. With the application of modern technology developed by us, the concept is ready to be turned into a highly utilitarian aircraft platform, with many diverse applications.

In recognition of these capabilities, we have been assessing military applications of our gyrodyne technology to conceptual designs for a vertical takeoff aircraft with payload and range capabilities that no aerospace manufacturer has been able to offer and that would contribute to the military and security needs of the United States Government. As a consequence, we have been able to respond to requests for proposals from government agencies and military commands. These submissions have ranged from small UAV gyroplanes to large vertical takeoff and landing (“VTOL”) freighters. Applications have also been made in partnership with either a major aerospace company or an academic institution with preeminent aerospace credentials. Other than Phase I of the Heliplane program and a Phase 0 of the PAS program, no government contracts were awarded and the Company can make no assurances that any of the Company’s submissions in response to RFPs will be accepted or funded beyond what has already taken place.

Starting in fiscal 2003, we made presentations of our views on the potential of our technology, to DARPA and to other military agencies and also to public aerospace companies. DARPA is the central research and development organization for the US Department of Defense (DoD). It manages and directs select basic and applied research for DoD, emphasizing technology development projects where payoff is high and where success may provide dramatic advances in the capabilities of this country’s combat forces.

Emerging from these efforts, on November 7, 2005, we announced that DARPA had selected a Company-led team to design a proof of concept high-speed, long range, VTOL aircraft. This modern rotorcraft, named the “Heliplane” by DARPA, is intended as a demonstrator aircraft for potential use in combat search and rescue roles and is designed to fly at a forward speed of 400 mph which is a speed twice as fast as is typical for helicopters, with a 1,150 mile range, essentially offering the VTOL capability of a helicopter with the fast forward flight capability of an airplane with the safety, simplicity and reliability of a GBA gyroplane and is designed to exploit our gyrodyne technology.

Phase One of this four-phase Heliplane program began with a 15-month \$6.4 million award to develop the preliminary design and perform key technology demonstrations. On September 19, 2007, the DARPA contract was modified, increasing the contract award from \$6.4 million to \$10.4 million, and extending the term of Phase One from 15 to 23 months. Substantial portions of Phase One payments were paid by us to subcontractors and consultants hired by us. Payments under this contract were conditional upon our attaining several milestone objectives during the course of Phase One of the contract.

We completed Phase One of the four phase program with a preliminary design review (PDR) in November of 2007. In January of 2009, DARPA funded a six month Heliplane Phase 1B effort to design and evaluate a modification to the Heliplane’s rotor-blade tip-jets to reduce its sound signature. For Phase 1B, DARPA chose, at our recommendation, GT to lead the program as prime contractor with the Company as the primary subcontractor for the critical rotor system. We made this recommendation because of our inability to fund the cash flow shortfall while waiting to be paid for

work completed. Phase IB had been completed as of the date of this report, but no decision has been announced by DARPA as to whether Phase II will be awarded.

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we reported revenues from the DARPA contract of \$830,000 and \$5,070,000, respectively.

Future Company Gyrodyne Aircraft

The Heliplane gyrodyne represents the possible model for the next generation rotor wing aircraft, meeting economy and performance goals not considered achievable by any other type of VTOL aircraft. As our gyrodyne technology is scalable to much larger aircraft, it has potential applications for both heavy lift, high speed VTOL military aircraft and for runway independent commercial airliners. We have been actively engaged in discussions with government agencies and potential aerospace strategic partners in this country with respect to military and commercial gyrodyne and gyroplane applications, and in Europe, India, and China with respect to commercial gyroplane applications.

The gyrodyne technology developed for the Heliplane also has direct application to the design of short-range vertical take-off and landing (“VTOL”) commercial airliners that are runway independent. Growth in the economy can produce heavy demand for aircraft that do not require the use of increasingly congested runways and are not limited by air traffic control constraints, and we anticipate the potential of an opportunity to develop such an aircraft. By using the airframe of an existing type-certificated production airplane and adding our rotor system, gyrodyne airliners can be delivered for substantially less investment and in less time than would normally be required to bring a new airliner to market. Our longer-range plans have identified opportunities for large (18-60 seat) gyrodynes to provide commercial passenger service in short and medium-range markets.

We believe the proposals that we have presented, or participated in presenting, have been well received and helped generate credibility for the value of our technology among key segments of the aerospace industry. We will continue to seek opportunities to obtain government research and development contracts for use of our technology in both military and civilian agency fields where we believe that it can offer meaningful advantages in performance or cost over competing technologies. Management believes that it is in the national interest that our unique gyroplane technology is developed.

Distribution and Marketing

It had been our plan to market the Hawk 4 through a dealer network, both in the United States and the rest of the world. A GBA Authorized Dealer network with 14 United States dealers, 3 International dealers and over 60 national sales representatives was established and these dealers placed firm orders with deposits for 145 Hawk 4 gyroplanes. As of June 30, 2009, dealer deposits totaled \$2,105,000, which amount has been reported as a long-term liability in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The deposit provides a delivery sequence number and represents a percentage of the total estimated purchase price. We have also issued common stock to dealers as partial consideration for the delay in the certification of the Hawk 4 Gyroplane. These costs have been charged to interest expense as incurred. The dealers have been given the opportunity to convert a portion of their deposits into shares of the Company’s restricted common stock. As stockholders of the Company, the dealers are considered related parties.

In recognition that many of the opportunities for use of our gyroplane products lay in Asia, in fiscal 2005 we hired Mr. Jason Chen, as Vice President of Business Development in Asia, who now acts as a consultant leading our efforts in that continent. As a result of the hiring of Mr. Chen, executive officers and employees of the Company met in China with government officials and aerospace executives on several occasions. In these meetings, Company representatives made presentations covering the application of our gyroplane and gyrodyne technologies to China's commercial needs. The presentations were well received and resulted in us being invited back to China to discuss specific proposals for joint ventures or cooperation. Mr. Chen was responsible for introducing us to the potential SparrowHawk Gyroplane JV partner with whom we have reached an MOU and continues to actively participate in progressing that objective.

We believe that Asia represents a potentially very large market for our products, from the SparrowHawk size gyroplanes, to the Hawk gyroplane derivatives, to the varying sizes of tip-jet powered gyrodynes in commuter airline and transport category aircraft. In addition, we believe that these types of safe, economical, high performance Ultra-Short and Vertical Takeoff and Landing (USTOL and VTOL) aircraft can be very important in helping solve the transportation needs of the burgeoning economies of China, India, Korea and other Asian nations.

Government Regulation

The nature of aviation products has resulted in their manufacture being regulated by governments for public safety, national defense, and economic and/or political purposes. Such regulations vary widely by country, by product type and by usage. Our products and intended products are principally impacted by United States laws and regulations, but also by requirements in our export markets. As our products can be used for private, commercial, public agency or military purposes, their sale and operation are governed by regulations appropriate to each category. Developmental flight testing of our aircraft is carried out under exemption rules covering experimental aircraft. The following section reviews the principal regulations applicable to each category of our activities in the United States.

GBA Hawk 4 Series Gyroplanes

Commercial or Private Use: FAA certification is the process by which the United States government ensures that aircraft sold into the U.S. civil market meet appropriate standards for all civil users. FAA certification is not required by military aircraft and by many aircraft in "Public Use," roles operated by Federal, State or local agencies. Civil aircraft operated outside the United States are regulated by the authorities of those countries and may be required to obtain additional certification. The analysis and testing leading to a U.S. certificate is, however, currently acceptable in most foreign countries as the basis for granting certification in those countries.

FAA certification has two related components. The first, Aircraft Type Certification assesses the integrity of the design and associated engineering through analysis and testing of components and complete aircraft to insure that the aircraft can achieve its performance standards safely. The second, Aircraft Production Certification, assesses the manufacturing organization to insure that its processes and procedures will result in the production of aircraft that fully conform to the standards of the aircraft type certificate.

The regulations pertaining to aircraft certification are contained in Title 14 of the United States Code, the "Federal Aviation Regulations" (FAR). Aircraft in the category of the Company's

Hawk 4 aircraft, to be operated commercially or privately, must receive a Type Certificate under Part 27 (normal category rotorcraft weighing less than 6,000 lbs) of the FAR, while the Production Certificate must be obtained under Part 21 of the FAR.

Public Use: The Company's efforts to sell its Hawk 4 to government agencies in the United States is based on the specific exemption for operation of aircraft used by government agencies as authorized by Federal Public Law 103-411, which defines what is a "public aircraft operation." This law permits training and flights in "public aircraft" for performance of the following governmental functions:

- Flights in response to fire fighting;
- Flights in response to search and rescue;
- Flights in response to law enforcement activities; and
- Flights in support of aeronautical research or biological or geological resource management.

In this context, "public aircraft" means an aircraft:

- (i) used only for the United States Government;
- (ii) owned by the United States Government and operated by any person for purposes related to crew training, equipment development, or demonstration; or
- (iii) owned and operated (except for commercial purposes), or exclusively leased for at least 90 continuous days, by a government (except the United States Government), including a State, the District of Columbia, or a territory or possession of the United States, or political subdivision of that government;

It does not include a government-owned aircraft:

- (i) transporting property for commercial purposes; or
- (ii) transporting passengers other than –
 - (I) transporting (for other than commercial purposes) crewmembers or other persons aboard the aircraft whose presence is required to perform, or is associated with the performance of, a governmental function such as firefighting, search and rescue, law enforcement, aeronautical research, or biological or geological resource management; or
 - (II) transporting (for other than commercial purposes) persons aboard the aircraft if the aircraft is operated by the Armed Forces or an intelligence agency of the United States.

An aircraft described in the preceding sentence shall, notwithstanding any limitation relating to use of the aircraft for commercial purposes, be considered to be a public aircraft for the purposes of this part without regard to whether the aircraft is operated by a unit of government on behalf of another unit of government, pursuant to a cost reimbursement agreement between such units of government, if the unit of government on whose behalf the operation is conducted certifies to the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration that the operation was necessary to respond to a significant and imminent threat to life or property (including natural resources) and that no service by a private operator was reasonably available to meet the threat.

Military Use: Aircraft sold to the US military are not required to meet FAA regulations, but must conform to military specifications that serve a similar purpose. The Company has not attempted to sell its Hawk 4 to the United States Armed Forces and is thus not familiar with the detailed requirements that would have to be met. It believes, however, that should a military application for the Hawk 4 be needed by the US Armed Forces, a version of the Hawk 4 could be designed to meet military specifications.

GBA SparrowHawk Gyroplanes

Homebuilt Kit Aircraft: While it might be possible to design and manufacture a gyroplane in the size and performance class of the SparrowHawk to meet the FAA FAR Parts 21 and 27 regulations that the Hawk 4 is designed to meet, we have not chosen to do this. Our entry to the SparrowHawk market has been through the alternative path of producing homebuilt aircraft kits for which there is an established market. Homebuilt aircraft kits are permitted by the FAA under its FAR Part 21 regulations governing the certification and operation of amateur-built aircraft. Such kits, however, require that the majority portion of the kit be built by an amateur (the “51% rule”), limiting the manufacturer’s portion to 49%.

Light Sport Aircraft: The FAA issued new regulations in 2004 defining a new classification of aircraft called Light Sport Aircraft (“LSA”) and regulated in two categories, namely Special Light Sport Aircraft (“SLSA”) and Experimental Light Sport Aircraft (“E-LSA”). These regulations define an LSA by specific detailed limits upon size, weight, speed, and complexity. The LSA regulations specifically exclude helicopters and other aircraft types considered to be overly complex for the LSA classification. Such aircraft are not limited by the 51% rule, with the manufacturer permitted to fully build the aircraft.

Aircraft conforming to the SLSA category, must in addition to the basic LSA limitations, be designed and manufactured to certain defined standards that include requirements such as, for example, the need for engines that are either FAA-certified or have parts traceability.

Unlike helicopters, gyroplanes are not specifically excluded from the LSA classification, but in view of the FAA’s relative unfamiliarity with the type, were originally eligible for a subcategory defined as Experimental or E-LSA. E-LSA aircraft were required to meet the LSA size, weight and other limits and could be sold as fully assembled aircraft, but were not required to meet the SLSA manufacturing standards. Approval for manufacture of E-LSA aircraft was, however, limited to the period ending January 31, 2008, and this approval has not been reinstated.

Public Use Aircraft: We plan to offer SparrowHawk aircraft fully built to US government agencies for Public Use, for which the regulations and limitations are covered by the same regulation, Public Law 103-411, that governs Public use for the Hawk 4, as described above.

Competition

To our knowledge, no other gyroplane is being prepared for commercial FAA certification, nor are any certified commercial gyroplanes currently being manufactured. The sole company known to be developing modern gyroplane technology is CarterCopter, LLC (“Carter”). Carter, like the Company, has identified the potential for a safe and efficient gyroplane that can operate without the need for a runway. Carter has, however, approached the market from a very different perspective than the Company. Carter has stated that its business strategy is to be a technology development company, not a manufacturer, and therefore, for these reasons we do not believe the CarterCopter represents any direct competition to the derivatives of the Hawk 4.

Competition for the Hawk 4 variants, such as the potential Hawk 6, lies largely in the helicopter segment, although the aircraft is competitive with airplanes for certain missions and is expected to obtain part of its market from fixed-wing markets. Its principal competitors are therefore comparably-sized turbine-powered helicopters, with similar speed, payload and range capabilities, notably those produced by Eurocopter and Bell. We believe that the much lower maintenance cost, greater daily utilization capability and inherent safety will enable the Hawk 4 derivatives to compete effectively against these aircraft as well as the lower cost, but lower-performing piston-powered Robinson R44 and its emerging turbine-powered R66.

With our exit from the kit-built market, we no longer regard kit manufacturers as competitors. Two new fully-built gyroplanes have recently entered the E-LSA market, the Xenon from France and the Sportcopter from Oregon and could be future competition for our SportHawk if it enters the market.

As our gyrodyne technology is principally directed towards missions that require either VTOL or near VTOL capability, our most significant competition comes from helicopters or hybrid aircraft that combine both helicopter and airplane characteristics. For high speed and heavy lift applications, both civil and military, these include tiltrotors in both two and four rotor configurations, proposed by Bell and Boeing, compound tandem helicopters with augmented lift from small wings and augmented thrust from external jet engines, proposed by Boeing and coaxial twin rotor helicopters proposed by Sikorsky. The Company believes that inherent advantages in its technology may allow it to compete effectively with these approaches.

Patents

We presently own several patents that relate to collective pitch and flight controls. We believe the important element of these patents is collective pitch control on a semi-rigid, teetering rotor head for gyroplanes. We believe this application is differentiated from similar sounding claims for helicopters, as this concept has never before been applied to gyroplanes. The patent claims are written very broadly, which we believe makes it difficult to design around them. Our patent opportunity existed because of a fifty-year hiatus in development in gyroplane technology. The earliest of these patents expire over the next few years. However, at the date of filing this report, the Company is endeavoring to file several more patent applications with the US Patent Office (“USPTO”) related to technology it developed over the last several years. There can be no guarantees that any of these potential applications will result in patents being issued and there can be no guarantee that cash value can be gained from any patent that may be issued by the USPTO.

Royalty Commitments

Royalty payments of 1% of the gross sales price of gyroplanes are to be paid in total to the Company's founders, David Groen and the estate of the late Jay Groen. Through June 30, 2009, royalties payable totaled \$16,000 to each of these parties.

We have royalty agreements with two holders of notes payable totaling \$300,000 which entitle the note holders to receive royalties on the sales by the Company of certain gyroplanes other than the SparrowHawk gyroplane. The royalties are calculated on each aircraft sold, and are limited to a combined maximum total of \$1.3 million.

We have a royalty agreement with an investor entitling the investor to receive royalties equal to \$2,500 for each Hawk 4 Gyroplane sold, limited to a maximum total of \$125,000.

Research and Development Expenditures

Total research and development expenditures incurred by us for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$337,000 and \$1,500,000, respectively.

Employees

We currently have 9 full time employees, and utilize part-time employees and outside consultants on an as needed basis. Our employees are not represented by any labor union, and we believe our relations with employees are good.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our future operating results are highly uncertain. Before deciding to invest in Groen Brothers Aviation Corporation or to maintain or increase your investment, you should carefully consider the risks described below, in addition to the other information contained in this annual report on Form 10-K. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be seriously harmed. In that event, the market price for our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment. Although the Company has attempted to list the factors of which it is currently aware that may have an impact on its operations, there may be other factors of which the Company is currently unaware or to which it does not assign sufficient significance, and the following list should not be considered comprehensive.

The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on our consolidated financial statements addresses an uncertainty about our ability to continue as a going concern.

The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on our audited consolidated financial statements addresses an uncertainty about our ability to continue as a going concern, indicating that our operating losses and lack of working capital raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. At June 30, 2009, we had total current liabilities of \$115,573,000 and current assets of \$253,000, resulting in a working capital deficiency of \$115,320,000. At June 30, 2009, we had a total stockholders' deficit of \$123,060,000. There can be no assurance that management's efforts to adequately capitalize the Company or attain a successful level of operations and cash flows will be successful. In light of our current financial position and the

uncertainty of raising sufficient capital to achieve our goals, the Company's viability as a going concern is uncertain.

We have a history of operating losses and there can be no assurance that we will be able to operate at a profit in the future.

We have incurred operating losses from our inception, and had an accumulated deficit of \$157,875,000 at June 30, 2009. We incurred a net loss of \$16,114,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009 and a net loss of \$19,837,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008. We recently ceased production of the SparrowHawk, and future involvement in the DARPA contract is uncertain. There can be no assurance that we will be able to operate at a profit in the future. If we cannot generate sufficient revenues to operate profitably and to meet our debt obligations, we may suspend or cease operations.

We will require additional funds to continue our business plan.

At June 30, 2009, we had a working capital deficiency of \$115,320,000 and a stockholders' deficit of \$123,060,000. We incurred a net loss of \$16,114,000 and used cash of \$2,155,000 in our operations during the year ended June 30, 2009. We do not currently have adequate funds to meet our obligations for the next twelve months and we are dependent on the receipt of additional debt or equity capital to fund our operations until such time as our revenues exceed our expenses and we begin to operate at a profit, if ever. We currently have an agreement with the Series B Holders to provide us with monthly funding to meet minimum operating needs through October 9, 2010. However, we will need additional debt or equity funding to meet our other obligations, many of which are past due. There can be no assurance that the Series B Holders will further extend the funding agreement beyond the current October 9, 2010 due date. We anticipate that we will have difficulty in obtaining other financing given the current economic climate and, if we are able to obtain equity financing, it will likely result in significant additional dilution to the interests of our current stockholders.

We have a significant amount of debt that is past due.

Current liabilities at June 30, 2009 included \$61,161,000 notes payable to related parties, including \$2,553,000 that is in default. In addition, we are delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$1,281,000 on this related party debt at June 30, 2009. Most of these related party notes payable are held by long-time shareholders and lenders of the Company and are payable on demand or are short-term in nature. There is no assurance that these related party lenders will not demand payment of this short-term indebtedness in the near future.

Also included in current liabilities at June 30, 2009 are notes payable to unrelated parties of \$1,405,000, substantially all of which is in default. In addition, we are delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$679,000 on this debt at June 30, 2009. We continue to make some payments on this indebtedness and continue discussions with many of these vendors and lenders, and have, in most instances, been granted grace periods and extensions without receipt of formal notices of default or threat of legal action. There is no assurance that these vendors and lenders will continue to forebear from collection or legal action.

Substantially all of our assets, including our intellectual property, have been pledged as collateral for our debt.

With the extension of the due dates of the remaining Series B Preferred Stock and the Note Purchase Agreement, substantially all of our assets, including our intellectual property, have been pledged as collateral for our debt.

Our business is subject to significant government regulation that will increase our operating costs.

The nature of aviation products has resulted in their manufacture being regulated by governments for public safety, national defense, and economic and/or political purposes. Such regulations vary widely by country, by product type and by usage. Our products and intended products are principally impacted by United States laws and regulations, but also by requirements in our export markets. As our products can be used for private, commercial, public agency or military purposes, their sale and operation are governed by regulations appropriate to each category. Developmental flight testing of our aircraft is carried out under exemption rules covering experimental aircraft. The failure to comply with government regulation could adversely affect our operations.

Difficulties or delays in the development, production, testing and marketing of products could have a materially adverse effect on our business.

Difficulties or delays in the development, production, testing and marketing of products, could have a materially adverse effect. Our business is subject, in part, to regulatory procedures and administration enacted by and/or administered by the FAA. Accordingly, our business may be adversely affected in the event we are unable to comply with such regulations relative to our current products and/or if any new products and/or services to be offered by us can or may not be formally approved by such agency.

Our potential international sales may be subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices which may differ from U.S. Government regulations.

Our potential international sales may be subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices which may differ from U.S. Government regulation, including regulations related to products being installed on aircraft, exchange controls, as well to varying currency, geo-political and economic risks. We also are exposed to risks associated with any relationships with foreign representatives, consultants, partners and suppliers for international sales and operations.

As a contractor to the U.S. government, we are subject to extensive government regulation, and our failure to comply with applicable regulations could subject us to penalties that may restrict our ability to conduct our business.

As a contractor to the U.S. government, we are subject to and must comply with various government regulations that impact our revenue, operating costs, profit margins and the internal organization and operation of our business. Also, we need special security clearances and regulatory approvals to continue working on certain of our projects with the U.S. government. Classified programs generally will require that we comply with various executive orders, federal laws and regulations and customer security requirements that may include restrictions on how we develop,

store, protect and share information, and may require our employees to obtain government security clearances. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations, rules and approvals or misconduct by any of our employees could result in the imposition of fines and penalties, the loss of security clearances, the loss of our government contracts or our suspension or debarment from contracting with the U.S. government generally, any of which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on our officers and the loss of their services would have an adverse effect on our business.

We are dependent on our officers, particularly David Groen, our president and chief executive officer, to operate our business and the loss of such persons would have an adverse impact on our operations. We do not have employment agreements with our officers and we do not carry key man life insurance on their lives.

We will be required to establish and maintain acceptable internal controls related to financial reporting which will be difficult, time consuming and expensive

As a public reporting company, our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Such controls will be reviewed by our independent registered public accounting firm in connection with the annual audit of our financial statements and in the future such firm may be required to provide a report with respect to our internal control over financial reporting. Since we do not currently have full time employees with the requisite accounting expertise or experience or an internal audit or accounting group, we will need to rely on consultants and other outside experts to assist us in establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting which is anticipated to be expensive. Since we are currently operating at a loss, there is no assurance that we will be able to pay the costs of establishing such controls or that we will be able to establish controls that are free from material weaknesses.

We have only two directors and they are not independent directors, which means our board of directors may be influenced by the concerns, issues or objectives of management to a greater extent than would occur with a number of independent directors.

We have only two directors and they are not independent directors. As a result, our board of directors may be influenced by the concerns, issues or objectives of management to a greater extent than would occur with independent board members. In addition, we do not have the benefit of having persons independent of management review, comment and direct our corporate strategies and objectives and oversee our reporting processes, our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting.

We do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We have never paid dividends on our common stock. The payment of dividends, if any, on the common stock in the future is at the discretion of the board of directors and will depend upon our earnings, if any, capital requirements, financial condition, existing financing agreements, and other

relevant factors. The board of directors does not intend to declare any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We have the ability to issue additional shares of common stock and to issue shares of preferred stock without stockholder approval.

The Company is authorized to issue up to 500,000,000 shares of common stock. To the extent of such authorization, the officers of the Company have the ability, without seeking stockholder approval, to issue additional shares of common stock in the future for such consideration as they believe to be sufficient. The issuance of additional common stock in the future will reduce the proportionate ownership and voting power of our current stockholders. The Company is also authorized to issue up to 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, the rights and preferences of which may be designated in series by the board of directors. To the extent of any authorizations, such designations may be made without stockholder approval. The designation and issuance of a series of preferred stock in the future could create additional securities which may have voting, dividend, liquidation preferences or other rights that are superior to those of the common stock, which could effectively deter any takeover attempt of the Company.

The holders of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock have a significant number of votes on all matters submitted to our stockholders for a vote.

David Groen, President and Chief Executive Officer, owns 1,025,000 shares of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, and Robin Wilson, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Dennis Gauger, former officer and director, and Margaret Groen, the surviving spouse of the late Jay Groen, each owns 125,000 shares of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, combined representing 100% of the outstanding shares of that series of preferred stock. Each share of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock entitles the holder to cast one hundred (100) votes, or a total of 140,000,000 votes on all matters submitted to the stockholders for a vote, voting together with the holders of the common stock of the Company as a single class. As such, the holders of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock currently hold approximately 59% of the Company's total voting power and are able to control the outcome of any matter submitted to the Company's stockholders for their consideration.

The market price of our common stock is volatile.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely, and in the future may be subject to similar fluctuations in response to ongoing variations in the future prospects of the Company and other events or factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Our common stock historically has been thinly traded.

Our common stock historically has been thinly traded. Therefore, our shareholders may not be able to sell their shares freely. The volume of trading in our common stock historically has been low and a limited market presently exists for our common shares. We cannot be assured that our trading volume will increase, or that our historically light trading volume or any trading volume whatsoever will be sustained in the future. Therefore, we cannot be assured that our shareholders will be able to sell their shares of our common stock at the time or at the price that they desire, of at all.

Our stock is a penny stock and is subject to special regulations which may make it more difficult for investors to liquidate their investment.

Because our common stock is deemed a low-priced “Penny” stock, an investment in our common stock should be considered high risk and subject to marketability restrictions. Since our common stock is a penny stock, as defined in Rule 3a51-1 under the Securities Exchange Act, it will be more difficult for investors to liquidate their investment in our common stock. Until the trading price of the common stock rises above \$5.00 per share, if ever, trading in the common stock is subject to the penny stock rules of the Securities Exchange Act specified in rules 15g-1 through 15g-10. Those rules require broker-dealers, before effecting transactions in any penny stock, to: (i) deliver to the customer, and obtain a written receipt for a disclosure document; (ii) disclose certain price information about the stock; (iii) disclose the amount of compensation received by the broker-dealer or any associated person of the broker-dealer; (iv) send monthly statements to customers with market and price information about the penny stock; and (v) in some circumstances, approve the purchaser's account under certain standards and deliver written statements to the customer with information specified in the rules. As a result, the penny stock rules may restrict the ability or willingness of broker-dealers to sell the common stock and may affect the ability of holders to sell their common stock in the secondary market and the price at which such holders can sell any such securities. These additional procedures could also limit our ability to raise additional capital in the future.

We currently have a significant number of options, warrants and convertible debt outstanding, the exercise of which may adversely affect our stock price and dilute our stockholders' ownership percentage.

Options and warrants to purchase 51,952,967 shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.07 to \$1.10 were outstanding at June 30, 2009. In addition, certain notes payable, long-term debt and related accrued interest payable were convertible into a total of 69,772,313 shares of our common stock at June 30, 2009, with conversion prices ranging from \$0.10 to \$1.25. The exercise of these options and warrants or the conversion of the convertible debt would result in a significant increase in the number of our common shares outstanding, which may adversely affect our stock price and dilute our stockholders' ownership percentage.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

We lease our development/manufacturing facility located at 2640 W. California Avenue, Salt Lake City, Utah from an unrelated party for approximately \$20,800 per month. This property consists of approximately 25,000 square feet, houses our headquarters and our administrative offices, and within this facility we conduct research and development and government contract activities. This lease extends through September 2010.

We rent on a month-to-month basis a small hangar for storage purposes at Buckeye Airport, Arizona at a monthly rental of approximately \$850 per month.

We consider the condition of our leased facility to be good and adequate for the current level of our operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not involved in any legal proceedings and, to the best of our knowledge, no legal proceedings against the Company have been threatened. We are subject to the potential of various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, including certain matters relating to past due amounts to creditors. The past due amounts are recorded as liabilities in our consolidated financial statements, and management believes that the amount, if any, that may result from other claims will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

PART II

Item 5: Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Market Information.

Our common stock traded under the symbol GNBA.OB on the OTC Bulletin Board until approximately October 7, 2008. Since October 7, 2008, our common stock has been quoted on the National Quotation Bureau's Pink Sheets under the symbol "GNBA.PK" As of July 21, 2010, the high bid and low asked quotation for our common stock on the Pink Sheets was \$0.013 bid and \$0.015 asked, respectively.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid quotations for our common stock for each quarter during the two fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. The quotations presented reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown, or commissions, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions in the common stock.

		<u>Closing Prices</u>	
Fiscal Year Ended June 30:		<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
2009	First Quarter	\$0.05	\$0.01
	Second Quarter	\$0.02	\$0.01
	Third Quarter	\$0.02	\$0.01
	Fourth Quarter	\$0.06	\$0.01
2008	First Quarter	\$0.17	\$0.13
	Second Quarter	\$0.14	\$0.10
	Third Quarter	\$0.13	\$0.06
	Fourth Quarter	\$0.09	\$0.04

As reflected by the high and low prices on the foregoing table, the trading price of the common stock of the Company can be volatile with dramatic changes over short periods. The trading price may reflect imbalances in the supply and demand for our shares, market reaction to perceived changes in the industry in which we sell products and services, general economic conditions, and other factors. Investors are cautioned that the trading price of the common stock can change dramatically based on changing market perceptions that may be unrelated to us and our activities.

(b) Number of equity security holders.

The number of record holders of our common stock as of July 30, 2010 was approximately 1,600. This number counts each broker dealer and clearing corporation who holds shares for its customers as a single holder.

(c) Dividends.

We did not declare or pay any cash dividends on our common stock during the past two fiscal years and we do not intend to declare any dividends in the foreseeable future.

(d) Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

The Company's Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") has been approved by our shareholders. Under the Plan, a maximum of 60,000,000 common shares are available for granting of options to purchase common stock. We may issue both non-qualifying stock options and qualifying incentive stock options. We have also issued stock options and warrants outside the Plan which have been approved by our Board of Directors and which have been issued under no specific plan approved by our shareholders. The following table presents information concerning outstanding stock options and warrants issued by us as of June 30, 2009.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Warrants	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options and Warrants	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	35,094,535	\$0.34	24,905,465
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	<u>16,858,432</u>	\$0.18	<u>n/a</u>
Total	<u>51,952,967</u>		<u>24,905,465</u>

See also the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Plan and stock options and warrants issued by the Company.

(e) Recent sales of unregistered securities.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we did not issue any shares of our common stock or options and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock.

(f) Purchases of equity securities by the registrant and affiliated purchasers.

We have not adopted a stock repurchase plan and we did not purchase any shares of our equity securities during the last three months of the year ended June 30, 2009.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Because the Company is a Smaller Reporting Company, this Item is not applicable to the Company.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**Late Filing**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 is first being filed in July 2010. The Company is in the process of closing its accounting records for the year ended June 30, 2010 and plans to prepare and file its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 at the earliest practicable date. Shareholders and others are cautioned that the financial statements included in this report are over one year old and are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that may be expected for the year ending June 30, 2010.

Background

We are engaged in the business of designing and developing new technology gyroplane and gyrodyne rotor-wing aircraft for military and commercial uses. Following the delays in our Heliplane program for DARPA, lower than anticipated results from sales of SparrowHawk kits, and negative conditions in capital markets, we undertook cost-cutting measures that we hope will allow us to continue to develop our technology on a reduced scale. We effected a substantial reduction in force and have reduced other operating expenditures as well.

Significant Series B Preferred Stock and Debt Obligations

From 2006 through the present, in addition to other debt, the Company has obtained debt and equity financing from certain lenders who are also stockholders of the Company. As of June 30, 2009, the Company's obligations to such lenders included: 36% promissory notes issued during 2006 and 2007 in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,400,000 (the "2006/2007 Notes"); a \$37,776,000 Series B 15% Cumulative Preferred Stock Obligation; 15% secured promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$41,157,000 that were issued in satisfaction of accrued dividends of \$36,962,000 on the Series B Preferred Stock through October 9, 2008 plus \$4,195,000 in interest accrued and added to debt principal through June 30, 2009 (the "Dividend Notes"); and secured 15% promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,367,000 issued pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement dated October 9, 2008 (the "Note Purchase Notes"). As discussed in more detail below, the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock and the maturity dates of the 2006/2007 Notes, the Dividend Notes and the Note Purchase Notes have been extended to October 9, 2010. The Company currently has no operating revenues to pay the interest accruing on the debt described above and the Company will be unable to repay such debt when it becomes due on October 9, 2010. Substantially all the Company's assets have been pledged to secure its debts and if the lenders should fail to further extend the redemption dates and maturity dates for such debts as they have done in the past, such lenders could foreclose on substantially all the assets of the Company and the Company would be forced to discontinue its operations. No assurances can be given that the lenders will further extend the redemption and maturity dates for such preferred stock and debt obligations or that if extended, the Company will be able to generate revenue or other sources of funds in the significant amount required in order to service and ultimately repay such debt.

Going Concern Uncertainty

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. Because of recurring operating losses, the excess of current liabilities over current assets, the stockholders' deficit, and negative cash flows from operations, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

At June 30, 2009, the Company had total current liabilities of \$115,573,000 and current assets of \$253,000, resulting in a working capital deficiency of \$115,320,000. At June 30, 2009, the Company had a total stockholders' deficit of \$123,060,000.

The Company has experienced a negative gross profit on sales of Sparrow Hawk kits and the number of SparrowHawk kits sold has fallen below expectations, due in part to lack of funding to finalize product development and to pay for increased sales and marketing efforts. In these circumstances, prospects of reaching a satisfactory profit level in a deteriorating economic climate were not promising. As importantly, the Company determined that the kit aircraft business, aimed at customers for their personal use could not be readily compatible with the design, manufacture and marketing of more sophisticated aircraft required by military and commercial customers. Therefore, in May 2008, the Company decided to cease production of the SparrowHawk and to seek to sell the program to a buyer with more compatible operating conditions and strategic interests.

The Company has completed work on those milestones of Phase I of the DARPA contract where it served as prime contractor and on Phase IB of the DARPA contract where it served as a subcontractor for rotor systems work to the Georgia Institute of Technology (GT). As of the date of this report, DARPA has not yet announced funding for Phase II and the future involvement of the Company in the DARPA contract is uncertain.

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent on attaining profitable operations, obtaining additional outside financing and/or restructuring its debt obligations, including its Series B Preferred Stock. The Company has funded losses from operations primarily from the issuance of debt to related parties (current shareholders and lenders of the Company), the increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and the sale of the Company's restricted common stock in private placement transactions. Currently, the Company has no source of operating revenues, and will require additional funding from these sources to sustain its future operations.

In order to repay its debt obligations in full or in part when due, the Company will be required to raise significant capital from other sources. Alternatively, the Company will be required to negotiate further extensions of the Series B Preferred Stock maturity date and its notes payable, as it has accomplished in the past. There is no assurance, however, that the Company will be successful in these efforts.

During 2006 and 2007, the Company obtained debt financing from the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock (the "Series B Holders") in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,400,000 (the "2006/2007 Notes"). The 2006/2007 Notes provide for the payment of simple interest at the rate of 36% per annum. The maturity date for the 2006/2007 Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

Included in current liabilities and the working capital deficiency at June 30, 2009 is a \$37,776,000 Series B Preferred Stock obligation. The Series B Holders have agreed to extend the

redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock from time to time and the current redemption date is October 9, 2010, or such later date as agreed to in writing by at least 80% of the Series B Holders.

In October 2008, as part of a Note Purchase Agreement between the Company and the Series B Holders, the Company issued short-term interest bearing notes in the principal amount of \$36,962,000 in satisfaction of the accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock through October 9, 2008 (the "Dividend Notes"). Because the Company had paid such dividends in kind by issuing additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock, the issuance of the Dividend Notes resulted in the redemption of \$36,962,000 of Series B Preferred Stock. The Dividend Notes provide for the payment of interest at the rate of 15% per annum, compounded quarterly. The maturity date for the Dividend Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

The Note Purchase Agreement, as amended, provides for the periodic sale by the Company to the lenders of short-term promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$12,750,000 to provide the Company with operating capital, as specified in the draw requests for such notes (the "Note Purchase Notes"). The draw requests must be approved by the lenders. Through June 30, 2009, the lenders had purchased notes under the Note Purchase Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,367,000 and the proceeds had been used by the Company to cover its minimum cash needs in excess of funding provided by payments from GT for the Company's work on the DARPA contract. The Note Purchase Notes provide for the payment of simple interest at the rate of 15% per annum. The maturity date for the Note Purchase Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

Substantially all assets of the Company, including its intellectual property, have been pledged as collateral for the Company's debt.

There can be no guarantee or assurance that the Company will be successful in its ability to generate income from operations, or to raise capital at favorable rates or at all. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Results of Operations

Revenues

The Company's consolidated revenues are comprised of the following:

	Years Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Government contract (DARPA)	\$ 1,058,000	\$ 5,070,000
Commercial subcontract	-	26,000
SparrowHawk kits and parts	24,000	720,000
Flight training	1,000	46,000
Other operating	3,000	60,000
Total	<u>\$ 1,086,000</u>	<u>\$ 5,922,000</u>

Total revenues decreased \$4,836,000 to \$1,086,000 in the year ended June 30, 2009 from \$5,922,000 in the year ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in revenues in the 2009 fiscal year was attributed to the decrease in all revenue sources, including the DARPA contract, sales of SparrowHawk kits and parts, flight training and other operating revenues. As discussed above, we significantly scaled back the level of our operations in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, including ceasing production of the SparrowHawk, eliminating substantially all flight training and reducing the level of our involvement in the DARPA contract.

We recognized revenue on the DARPA contract as each defined milestone was completed and the requisite meetings were held and technical data submitted and accepted by DARPA. At that time, DARPA instructed us to submit an invoice for payment for the respective milestone at the amount specified in the contract.

Phase I of the DARPA contract ended in November 2007, except for final reports and some continued work on completing a test article rotor blade for the Heliplane. We received final payment on Phase I in February 2009. Phase IB of the DARPA contract, where we were engaged as a GT subcontractor for rotor systems work, has been completed, with final payment received from GT by us in September 2009.

Costs and Expenses

The Company's consolidated cost of sales is comprised of the following:

	Year Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Government contract (DARPA)	\$ 926,000	\$ 5,036,000
Commercial subcontract	-	281,000
SparrowHawk kits and parts	-	2,002,000
Flight training	-	12,000
Total	<u>\$ 926,000</u>	<u>\$ 7,331,000</u>

Total cost of sales decreased \$6,405,000 to \$926,000 in the year ended June 30, 2009 from \$7,331,000 in the year ended June 30, 2008. As discussed above, we significantly scaled back the level of our operations during the 2009 fiscal year, including ceasing production of the SparrowHawk, eliminating flight training and reducing the level of our involvement in the DARPA contract.

Research and development expenses for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased to \$337,000 from \$1,500,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008 as a result of the reduction in our level of operations. Research and development activities include the development of opportunities for subcontract opportunities with industry partners, variations to the SparrowHawk, fully assembled SparrowHawk gyroplane derivatives, potential applications of our technology to heavy lift vertical take-off military aircraft, runway independent short-haul airliners and other aircraft, including government contract opportunities.

As a result of the reduction in our level of operations, general and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased to \$997,000 from \$3,028,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Other Income and Expenses

As a result of the reduction in our related party notes receivable, related party interest income is currently insignificant to our consolidated financial statements. Related party interest income was \$3,000 and \$4,000 for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Interest and other income decreased to \$1,000 in the year ended June 30, 2009 from \$14,000 in the year ended June 30, 2008. Interest and other income is also currently insignificant to our consolidated financial statements, with the decrease in reported amounts resulting from the reduction in our level of operations and continued low levels of interest bearing cash.

We realized a gain on the sale of property and equipment of \$45,000 in the year ended June 30, 2009. We did not have any sales of property and equipment in the year ended June 30, 2008.

We realized a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$8,000 in each of the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2009 increased to \$8,330,000 from \$4,098,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008. We had a net increase in cash borrowings of \$2,238,000 in the year ended June 30, 2009. In addition, in October 2008, we increased our interest-bearing debt by \$36,962,000 through a partial redemption of our Series B Preferred Stock. However, the increase in interest expense in the 2009 fiscal year was partially offset by additional interest expense that was incurred in the prior fiscal year for the value of stock and stock options issued to lenders in connection with new debt or debt extensions. No stock or stock options were issued in the 2009 fiscal year.

Series B Preferred Stock interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2009 decreased to \$6,643,000 from \$9,324,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008. This decrease is attributed to the \$36,962,000 redemption of Series B Preferred Stock for the Dividend Notes in October 2008. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock, which are recorded as interest expense, have been "paid in kind" with additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock.

Net Loss

The net loss for the year ended June 30, 2009 was \$16,114,000 compared to \$19,837,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in the net loss in the 2009 fiscal year resulted primarily from the decrease in loss from operations, partially offset by an increase in interest expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Series B Preferred Stock Obligation

At June 30, 2009, we had total current liabilities of \$115,573,000 and current assets of \$253,000, resulting in a working capital deficiency of \$115,320,000. Included in current liabilities and the working capital deficiency at June 30, 2009 is a \$37,776,000 Series B Preferred Stock obligation. On May 10, 2007, we received the approval of the Series B Holders to extend the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock from May 1, 2007 to June 16, 2007, or such later date

as agreed to in writing by at least 80% of the Series B Holders. Subsequently, the Series B Holders agreed in writing to an extension of the redemption date from June 16, 2007, to June 30, 2008.

During 2006 and 2007, the Company obtained debt financing from the Series B Holders in exchange for 2006/2007 Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,400,000. The 2006/2007 Notes provide for the payment of simple interest at the rate of 36% per annum. The maturity date of the 2006/2007 Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

In October 2008, as part of a Note Purchase Agreement between the Company and the Series B Holders, we redeemed \$36,962,000 of the outstanding Series B Stock in exchange for short-term interest bearing Dividend Notes, and the Series B Holders agreed to an extension of the redemption date of the remaining Series B Stock from June 30, 2008 to April 9, 2009. Subsequently, the redemption date has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010, or such later date as agreed to in writing by the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock. The Dividend Notes provide for the payment of interest at the rate of 15% per annum, compounded quarterly. The maturity date of the Dividend Notes has also been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

In addition, the Note Purchase Agreement, as amended, provides for the periodic sale by the Company to the lenders of short-term Note Purchase Notes to provide the Company with operating capital, as specified in the draw requests for such notes. The draw requests must be approved by the lenders. Through June 30, 2009, the lenders had purchased notes under the Note Purchase Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,367,000 and the proceeds had been used by us to cover our minimum cash needs in excess of funding provided by payments from GT for our work on the DARPA contract. The Note Purchase Notes provide for the payment of simple interest at the rate of 15% per annum. The maturity date of the Note Purchase Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

The lenders and the Company have amended the October 9, 2008 Note Purchase Agreement from time to time to increase the aggregate amount of promissory notes than can be purchased to \$12,750,000 to provide funding to meet our monthly minimum financial needs. The lenders are not obligated to purchase notes pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement and there can be no assurance that the lenders will continue to purchase notes or otherwise provide funding to the Company.

Subsequent to June 30, 2009 through July 22, 2010, the Company has received net proceeds from debt financing pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement of approximately \$6.2 million, \$3.5 million of which was used to partially redeem shares of the Series B Preferred Stock.

In connection with the execution of the Note Purchase Agreement and the issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock, substantially all our assets, including our intellectual property, have been pledged as collateral for our debt.

In order to repay these obligations in full or in part when due, we will be required to raise significant capital from other sources and to meet certain capital requirements under Utah State law. Alternatively, we will be required to negotiate another extension of the Series B Preferred Stock redemption date and the maturity dates of the 2006/2007 Notes, the Dividend Notes and the Stock Purchase Notes, as we have accomplished in the past. There is no assurance, however, that we will be successful in raising the capital required to repay the Series B Preferred Stock and related notes payable obligations or in obtaining a further extension of the Series B Preferred Stock redemption date and the related notes payable maturity dates beyond October 9, 2010.

Other Debt Obligations

Current liabilities at June 30, 2009 included \$61,161,000 notes payable to related parties, comprised of the following:

Series B Holders:	
Dividend Notes	\$41,157,000
Note Purchase Notes	2,367,000
2006/2007 Notes	4,400,000
	<hr/>
	47,924,000
Other Related Parties	13,237,000
	<hr/>
Total Related Party Notes Payable	\$61,161,000

Of the other related parties notes, \$2,553,000 is in default. In addition, we are delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$1,281,000 on this related party debt at June 30, 2009. Most of these related party notes payable are held by long-time shareholders and lenders of the Company and are payable on demand or are short-term in nature. There is no assurance that these related party lenders will not demand payment of this short-term indebtedness in the near future.

Also included in current liabilities at June 30, 2009 are notes payable to unrelated parties of \$1,405,000, substantially all of which is in default. In addition, we are delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$679,000 on this debt at June 30, 2009. We continue to make some payments on this indebtedness and continue discussions with many of these vendors and lenders, and have, in most instances, been granted grace periods and extensions without receipt of formal notices of default or threat of legal action. There is no assurance that these vendors and lenders will continue to forebear from collection or legal action.

Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

As discussed above, we have substantially reduced the level of our operations. Therefore, the amounts of cash provided by or used in operating, investing and financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2009 are generally less than the amounts reported for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$2,155,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$3,066,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008. The net cash used in operating activities decreased in the 2009 fiscal year primarily because of a lower net loss further reduced by interest expense accrued on Series B preferred stock, interest expense added to debt principal and an increase in accrued expenses.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2009 was \$29,000, consisting of proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of \$27,000 and payments of related party notes receivable of \$2,000. Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2008 was \$159,000 comprised of the purchase of property and equipment of \$157,000 and an increase in related party accounts and notes receivable of \$7,000, partially offset by related party notes receivable repayments received of \$4,000 and proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of \$1,000.

We have funded losses from operations and net cash used in investing activities in the 2009 fiscal year primarily from the issuance of debt to related parties (current shareholders and lenders of the Company) and the increase in accrued expenses, and will require additional funding from these sources to sustain our future operations.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2,238,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009, comprised of a net increase in debt of \$2,307,000, partially offset by repayments of bank overdraft and bank overdraft line of credit totaling \$69,000. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2008 was \$3,168,000, comprised of a net increase in debt of \$2,841,000, net proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$259,000, and a total increase in bank overdraft and bank overdraft line of credit of \$69,000, partially offset by the payment of finders' compensation of \$1,000.

We currently do not have sufficient cash to sustain our operations for the next twelve months. We are dependent on the lenders under the Note Purchase Agreement to continue to fund operating losses in the short-term, or until revenues grow to the point where they are sufficient to cover operating costs and expenses. As discussed above, the Note Purchase Agreement provides for the periodic sale by the Company to the lenders of short-term promissory notes to provide the Company with operating capital, as specified in the draw requests for such notes. The draw requests must be approved by the lenders. Through July 22, 2009, the lenders had purchased notes under the Note Purchase Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$8,552,000 and the proceeds had been used by us to cover our minimum cash needs in excess of funding provided by payments from GT for our work on the DARPA contract, funding that was completed in September 2009. In addition, \$3,500,000 of the proceeds was used to partially redeem our Series B Preferred Stock. There is no assurance that this funding under the Note Purchase Agreement will continue beyond the current short-term agreement, and there is no assurance that we will be successful in either raising sufficient capital from other sources or improving operations.

Management does not anticipate that revenues or expenses will be materially affected by inflation during the next twelve months of operations.

Our operations are not subject to material seasonal fluctuations.

Off Balance Sheet Commitments

We lease facilities under non-cancelable operating leases. Future minimum rental payments required under these leases are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,

2010	\$ 271,000
2011	73,000
	<hr/>
	\$ 344,000

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies include the following:

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets – The Company periodically reviews its long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company evaluates, at each balance sheet date, whether events and circumstances have occurred which indicate possible impairment. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired when the anticipated cumulative undiscounted cash flows of the related asset or group of assets is less than the carrying value. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair market value of the long-lived asset.

Research and Development Costs – Research and development costs are expensed as incurred in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 730, *Research and Development*. The costs of materials and other costs acquired for research and development activities are charged to expense as incurred. Salaries, wages, and other related costs of personnel, as well as other facility operating costs are allocated to research and development expense through management’s estimate of the percentage of time spent by personnel in research and development activities.

Revenue Recognition – The Company recognizes revenues from goods and services when there is a binding agreement, the product has been completely shipped or service has been delivered, collection is reasonably assured, and the Company has no significant obligations remaining. Portions of the purchase price of the Company’s products collected from customers in advance of product delivery are recorded as deferred revenue. Therefore, revenues from the sale of SparrowHawk gyroplane kits are not recorded until all kit components and parts are delivered to the customer and collection of any remaining amounts due is reasonably assured.

The Company recognizes revenue on its current government contract as each defined milestone is completed and the requisite meetings are held and technical data submitted and accepted by DARPA. At that time, DARPA instructs the Company to submit an invoice for payment for the respective milestone at the amount specified in the contract. Contract-related expenses incurred by the Company for each milestone of the contract, including its own labor, travel, supplies and other costs, and the costs of subcontractors and consultants, are deferred as work-in-process inventory and expensed to cost of sales as the contract revenue for the milestone is recognized. When a loss on a contract is projected, however, all contract-related costs and expenses are expensed as incurred.

The Company recognizes revenue on commercial and sub-contractor contracts as each scheduled phase of the contract is completed and invoices are submitted. Contract-related expenses incurred by the Company for each phase of the contract, including its own labor, travel, supplies and other costs, and the costs of subcontractors and consultants, are deferred as work-in-process inventory and expensed to cost of sales as the contract revenue for the milestone is recognized. When a loss on a contract is projected, however, all contract-related costs and expenses are expensed as incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation – The Company has adopted the fair value recognition provisions of ASC Topic 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, which requires the Company to measure the compensation cost of stock options and other stock-based awards to employees and directors at fair value at the grant date and recognize compensation expense over the requisite service

period for awards expected to vest. The grant-date fair value of stock options and other stock-based awards is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The stock-based compensation expense has been allocated to the various categories of costs and expenses in a manner similar to the allocation of payroll expense.

Non-Employee Stock Options and Warrants – In accordance with ASC Topic 505-50, *Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees*, the Company estimates the fair value of the consideration recorded for stock options and warrants issued to non-employees using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. For those stock options and warrants that have variable characteristics, the Company will continue to use this methodology to periodically reassess the fair value of the consideration to determine if the value of the consideration recorded in the consolidated financial statements requires adjustment. Changes in the assumptions used in the option-pricing model, including the market price of the Company's common stock and risk-free interest rates, may result in fluctuations in the estimated fair value and carrying value of the consideration recorded for variable non-employee stock options and warrants.

Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity – In accordance with ASC Topic 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, the Company reports its Series B Preferred Stock as a liability. This pronouncement establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances).

Income Taxes – The Company accounts for income taxes according to the asset and liability method. The asset and liability method requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between tax bases and financial reporting bases of existing assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162*. The Codification will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied to nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification became nonauthoritative. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 (our quarter ended September 30, 2009). The implementation of this pronouncement had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On May 28, 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*, which is now included in ASC Topic 855, *Subsequent Events*. This statement is intended to establish general standards of

accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date—that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This disclosure is intended to alert all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. The statement is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, or our fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The implementation of this pronouncement had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Forward Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act with respect to our results of operations and businesses. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K, including those regarding market trends, our financial position, business strategy, projected costs, and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In general, such statements are identified by the use of forward-looking words or phrases including, but not limited to, “believes”, “intended”, “will”, “should”, “may”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “estimates”, “projects” or the negative thereof or variations thereon or similar terminology.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Because forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainty, our actual results could differ materially. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, but are not limited to, the risks described herein under the caption “Item 1A, Risk Factors.” These forward-looking statements represent our judgment as of the date of this Form 10-K. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Cautionary Statements. We disclaim, however, any intent or obligation to update our forward-looking statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Because the Company is a Smaller Reporting Company, this Item is not applicable to the Company.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The consolidated financial statements of the Company required by this Item are contained in a separate section of this report located immediately following the signature page. See “Index to Consolidated Financial Statements” on Page F-1 for the consolidated financial statements of the Company included in this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, and as further discussed below, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures employed at the Company are not effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined under Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under that framework, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of June 30, 2009.

As a result of our decision to cease production of the SparrowHawk, our reduced involvement in the DARPA contract, and the resulting substantial decrease in our operations, we eliminated substantially all full time accounting and finance personnel. We have not, therefore, timely prepared our consolidated financial statements and filed our periodic reports with the SEC. We currently utilize primarily former employees, working either as part time employees or outside consultants, to maintain the financial records of the Company and to prepare the consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures of the Company. This has resulted in less segregation of accounting duties and less compliance with financial close procedures than had previously been implemented when a fully staffed accounting and finance department was in place. This lack of internal oversight and

review resulted in adjusting journal entries not detected by us that were material to our consolidated financial statements.

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors is currently comprised of two individuals who are not independent directors.

We believe these deficiencies in the design and operation of our internal control over financial reporting may be considered material weaknesses. A material weakness is a control deficiency (within the meaning of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 2) or combination of control deficiencies, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. In light of the material weaknesses described above, we performed additional analyses and other post-closing procedures and increased the involvement of outside consultants to ensure our financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, we believe that the consolidated financial statements included in this report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. We intend to continue the involvement of outside consultants and further implement additional analyses and financial close procedures to ensure that our financial statements are timely prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting procedures.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Change in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Other than those matters discussed above, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2009, that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following table contains the names and ages of all our Directors and Executive Officers and offices held by each such person.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Positions</u>
David L. Groen	59	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer
Robert (Robin) H. H. Wilson	74	Director, Executive Vice President, and Chief Operating Officer

Term of Office

The appointment of David Groen as a member of the Board of Directors was ratified and approved by the stockholders at a meeting for that purpose on May 20, 2000. Mr. Wilson was appointed a member of the Board of Directors on October 3, 2006. The term of service of each director continues until the next annual meeting of the stockholders. With the exception of compliance with the duties of a director as set forth in the Articles of Incorporation or By-laws of the Company or in the provisions of the Utah Revised Business Corporation Act, there are no arrangements or understandings pursuant to which any of the foregoing persons were selected to serve on the Board of Directors of the Company. Each of the foregoing persons consented to serve as a director of the Registrant prior to their designation or subsequent election as such.

Background on Directors and Executive Officers

David L. Groen As a founder of Groen Brothers Aviation, David Groen has performed in an executive management role for over twenty-five years, nearly a decade and a half of which as its President and Chief Executive Officer. David and his late brother Jay grew the Company from just the two of them in 1986 to more than 130 people by 2001, all the while developing and re-discovering the science of autorotative flight. They weathered the severe economic down turn cause by the “Tech Sector” crash, made even worse by the events of 9/11, necessitating a reduction in its work force of more than 100 people, then growing the Company back to nearly 100 full time and part time employees. This followed the receipt of the Company’s award, from DARPA, largely conceived by Mr. Groen, for its important Heliplane contract. Following the completion of Phase I of the Heliplane contract and the onset of the current recession, Mr. Groen undertook another major reduction in force, while at the same time arranging funding that would permit the Company to continue research and development on a smaller scale, while seeking major funding for the full exploitation of its technology of autorotative flight.

Immediately prior to forming Groen Brothers Aviation, David Groen was a founding partner and Chief Financial Officer for Seagull Recycling Company. Previously, he has held numerous executive positions in the helicopter industry with Sales and Marketing, Safety Officer, Branch Manager, and Chief Pilot responsibilities.

Having extensive military and commercial experience in helicopters, Mr. Groen has logged over 7,000 hours in rotor-wing and fixed-wing aircraft. Mr. Groen received his Certificate of Graduation in 1970 from the U.S. Army Warrant Officer Flight Training School, was awarded Army Aviator Wings and promoted to the rank of Warrant Officer. As a combat helicopter pilot and Aircraft Commander in Vietnam, he flew hundreds of combat sorties. He was qualified as a pilot in several American and French helicopters, and has attended Aerospatiale factory schools.

Over the years, Mr. Groen's numerous commercial helicopter missions have involved such work as EMS (emergency medical service hospital air ambulance), power line construction and patrol, topographical survey, USGS map making, wildlife management, predator control, herd management, back country tour guides, heli-skiing, forest fire fighting, long line seismic oil exploration, and wildcat on shore and off shore oil drilling operations.

These years of commercial flying, added to his tenure serving in management positions within the rotor-wing industry, gave Mr. Groen a wealth of management and leadership experience in a variety of related fields. David Groen is co-author, along with his brother Jay, of a bestselling novel entitled Huey.

Robert (Robin) H.H. Wilson has had a long and prominent career in aviation. A U.S. citizen, he is a native of Ireland, but began his career with Rolls-Royce Aero-Engines in England, where he worked as an engineer for eight years, obtaining his Engineering degree from Cambridge University. During this period he was a section leader on the development of the Tyne engine intended among other applications as the powerplant for the Fairey Rotodyne, a large commercial gyrodyne.

Mr. Wilson left Rolls-Royce to attend graduate school, obtaining an MBA with High Distinction from Harvard University. From Harvard he joined TWA as a Financial Analyst, where over the following seventeen years he held several Vice President positions across many functional areas, including Planning, Marketing, Maintenance and Engineering and finally Senior Vice President, Operations. He left TWA to become President of the Long Island RailRoad, the nation's oldest and largest passenger carrying railroad. His 3½ years in this position were marked by significant improvement in the railroad with a commendation by the U.S. Senate and New York State legislature for outstanding performance.

Mr. Wilson returned to aviation as President of Western Airlines, where he participated in the build up of its Salt Lake City hub as part of the team that managed the major turnaround of that carrier and merger with Delta. Later, he was appointed President of Burlington Air Express, before returning to Ireland, as Chief Technical Officer of Guinness Peat Aviation, then the world's largest aircraft leasing company.

Following TWA's Chapter 11 filing in 1992, Mr. Wilson was asked to return to TWA as Co-Chief Executive to take the company out of bankruptcy. When this was accomplished, he remained as Vice Chairman until new management was recruited. He then became a partner in the international aviation consultancy, SH&E, advising Governments, Banks, Airlines and other parties on aviation matters over a five-year period.

In August 2001 he joined Groen Brothers Aviation as Chief Financial Officer and Head of Business Development. Late in 2003, he took on added responsibility for GBA and AAI Marketing

and Sales, while relinquishing his CFO role. Subsequently, he was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and joined the Board following the death of Jay Groen.

Communications between Shareholders and the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has not adopted a formal procedure that shareholders must follow to send communications directly to it. The Board of Directors does receive communication from shareholders, from time to time, and addresses those communications as appropriate. Shareholders can send communication to the Board of Directors in one of the following ways:

- In writing, to Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., 2640 West California Avenue, Suite A, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104, Attention of Board of Directors
- By Email, at directors@groenbros.com.

We did not hold an annual meeting of shareholders during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on the review of the copies of such forms received by us or written representations from certain reporting persons, that no other reports were required, management of the Company believes that all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with during the year ended June 30, 2009.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The two members of the Company's Board of Directors, none of whom is deemed independent pursuant to Rule 4200(a) of the NASD's Marketplace Rules, currently serve as the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee of the Board. The Company does not have a standing nominating committee and the Company's entire Board of Directors performs the functions that would customarily be performed by a nominating committee. The Board of Directors does not believe a separate nominating committee is required at this time due to the limited size of the Company's business operations and the limited resources of the Company which do not permit it to compensate its directors. The Board of Directors has not established policies with regard to the consideration of director candidates recommended by security holders or the minimum qualifications of such candidates.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors makes recommendations regarding the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm, reviews the scope of the annual audit undertaken by our independent registered public accounting firm and the progress and results of their work, reviews the financial statements of the Company, and oversees the internal controls over

financial reporting and corporate programs to ensure compliance with applicable laws. The Audit Committee reviews the services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm and determines whether they are compatible with maintaining the registered public accounting firm's independence. The Audit Committee currently does not have a charter, and the two members of the Audit Committee are not independent directors. Our Board of Directors has determined that Robin Wilson is an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements and discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by SAS 61. Management is responsible for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal controls. The independent auditors are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditors the auditors' independence from the management of the Company and received written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1.

After review and discussions, the Audit Committee approved the audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008. The Audit Committee also selected HJ & Associates, LLC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors reviews and approves executive compensation policies and practices, reviews salaries and bonuses for our officers, administers our stock option plans and other benefit plans, and considers other matters as required. The Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Operating Officer are members of the Compensation Committee and such persons participate in determining the amount and form of executive and director compensation. To date, the Company has not engaged independent compensation consultants to determine or recommend the amount or form of executive or director compensation.

The Board of Directors held two formal meetings during the 2009 fiscal year. All of the directors attended the meetings. In addition, the directors met on numerous occasions during fiscal 2009 for informal discussions and took action by unanimous written consents in lieu of meetings.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted Standards of Conduct applicable to all employees and a Statement of Corporate Values, also applicable to all employees. Our Standards of Conduct is being filed as an exhibit to this report and is also available on our web site.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The following table summarizes all compensation earned by or paid to our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (the “Named Executive Officers”) for services rendered in all capacities for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Summary Compensation Table

<u>Name and Principal Position</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Salary</u>	<u>Bonus</u>	<u>Stock Awards</u>	<u>Option Awards</u>	<u>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation</u>	<u>Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings</u>	<u>All Other Compensation</u>	<u>Total</u>
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1) (f)	(g)	(2) (h)	(i)	(j)
David Groen (3) President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer	2009	\$150,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 112,614	\$-	\$ 160,000	\$-	\$ 422,614
	2008	\$147,692	\$-	\$-	\$ 130,314	\$-	\$ 160,000	\$ 4,517	\$ 442,523
Robin Wilson (4) Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2009	\$140,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 41,025	\$-	\$ 145,000	\$-	\$ 326,025
	2008	\$137,692	\$-	\$-	\$ 84,972	\$-	\$ 145,000	\$ 13,019	\$ 380,683

- (1) The amounts in column (f) reflect the dollar amount of stock-based compensation expense recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718.
- (2) The amounts in column (h) reflect the additions to deferred compensation payable during the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. The deferred compensation is payable in part or in whole only by resolution of the Company’s Board of Directors and when not precluded by the Company’s financing agreements.
- (3) The other compensation paid to Mr. Groen in fiscal year 2008 consists of matching contributions made by the Company pursuant to the Company’s Profit Sharing 401(k) Plan. The Company made no matching contributions in fiscal year 2009.
- (4) The other compensation paid to Mr. Wilson in fiscal year 2008 consists of matching contributions made by the Company pursuant to the Company’s Profit Sharing 401(k) Plan. The Company made no matching contributions in fiscal year 2009.

Employment Agreements

Effective August 12, 2007 annual salaries for David Groen and Robin Wilson were established at \$150,000 and \$140,000, respectively, with no additional compensation for service on the Company’s Board of Directors. We do not have written employment agreements with our executive officers.

Deferred Compensation

In addition to cash compensation, we have a deferred compensation arrangement for executive officers and certain of our senior management that accrues additional salary, with amounts originating from fiscal year 1998 through the 2009 fiscal year. The terms of our Series B Preferred Stock preclude us from making any deferred compensation payments until all outstanding amounts due relating to the Series B Preferred Stock have been paid in full. Absent payment restrictions related to outstanding Series B Preferred Stock or other restrictions, the deferred compensation is payable in part or in whole only by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors. Through June 30, 2009, the Board of Directors has not authorized payment of any of the deferred compensation, and will not authorize payments until the Board determines such payments are allowed under our outstanding financing agreements and would be prudent in light of our financial condition and availability of cash. Deferred compensation totaling \$5,097,000, related accrued payroll taxes of \$178,000 and related accrued interest payable of \$299,000 are classified as long-term liabilities at June 30, 2009. In fiscal year 2001, we began accruing interest expense on the deferred compensation at the rate of 8% per annum. The accrual of interest was permanently discontinued on July 1, 2004. At June 30, 2009, deferred compensation and related interest accrued to the Named Executive Officers were as follows:

	<u>Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>Accrued Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
David Groen	\$1,879,354	\$179,711	\$2,059,065
Robin Wilson	\$1,082,139	\$ 41,158	\$1,123,297

Stock Option Plan

The following table summarizes the outstanding stock options held by the Named Executive Officers at June 30, 2009.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

<u>Name</u>	<u>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable</u>	<u>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable</u>	<u>Option Awards</u>		
			<u>Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)</u>	<u>Option Exercise Price (\$)</u>	<u>Option Expiration Date</u>
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
David Groen	50,000	-	-	0.25	12/30/2010
David Groen	1,925,000	-	-	0.25	10/19/2009
David Groen	3,000,000	-	-	1.00	10/19/2009
David Groen	750,000	-	-	0.25	6/20/2011
David Groen	1,706,250	568,750	-	0.25	8/13/2011
David Groen	123,333	61,667	-	0.15	10/31/2011
David Groen	1,000,000	500,000	-	0.18	11/16/2011
David Groen	10,000	40,000	-	0.17	9/16/2012
David Groen	250,000	375,000	-	0.08	3/5/2015
David Groen	3,076,923	-	-	0.07	5/14/2010
Robin Wilson	50,000	-	-	0.25	12/30/2010

Robin Wilson	1,950,000	-	-	0.25	9/22/2009
Robin Wilson	1,000,000	-	-	0.25	6/23/2010
Robin Wilson	1,000,000	-	-	1.00	6/23/2010
Robin Wilson	750,000	-	-	0.25	6/20/2011
Robin Wilson	750,000	250,000	-	0.25	8/13/2011
Robin Wilson	73,333	36,667	-	0.15	10/31/2011
Robin Wilson	10,000	40,000	-	0.17	9/16/2012
Robin Wilson	194,444	388,889	-	0.08	3/5/2015

Columns (g) through (j) have been omitted since we have not granted any stock awards.

Under our 2000 amended and restated stock option plan (Plan), there are 60 million shares that are authorized for stock options. We may issue both non-qualifying stock options and qualifying incentive stock options. All stock options have an exercise price that is not less than 100 percent of the fair market value on the date of the grant. While expiration dates vary on particular grants, no stock options may be exercised more than ten years after the date of grant. Qualifying incentive stock options are granted only to employees, while non-qualifying options may be granted to employees, directors, and non-employees.

On May 17, 2002 and June 10, 2005, we filed Form S-8 Registration Statements (“Registration”) for the Plan under the Securities Act of 1933. Options granted under the Plan can be exercised for registered shares of common stock. We have determined not to permit the exercise of stock options granted under the Plan until such time as we are current in the filing of periodic reports with the SEC.

Our Board of Directors may also authorize the issuance of other stock options and warrants outside of the Plan.

No stock options were granted during the year ended June 30, 2009.

Compensation of Directors

We paid no cash fees or other consideration to our directors for service as directors during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. We have made no agreements regarding future compensation of directors. All directors are entitled to reimbursement for reasonable expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as members of the Board of Directors.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following tabulation shows, as of June 30, 2010, the number of shares of common stock, no par value, and the number of shares of Series A preferred stock owned beneficially by: (a) all persons known to be the holders of more than five percent (5%) of voting securities, (b) Directors, (c) the Named Executive Officers and (d) all other Senior Officers and Directors as a group:

**Amount and Nature of
Beneficial Ownership (1)**

<u>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</u>	<u>Common Shares</u>		<u>Series A Preferred Shares</u>		<u>Total Voting Shares (6)</u>	
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>%</u>
	Principal Stockholders					
Dennis Gauger 2640 W. California Ave. Salt Lake City, Utah 84104	4,915,000	(4)	2.9	125,000	8.9	17,415,000 5.6
Margaret Groen 2640 W. California Ave. Salt Lake City, Utah 84104	6,605,100	(5)	3.9	125,000	8.9	19,105,100 6.1
Officers and Directors						
David Groen 2640 W. California Ave. Salt Lake City, Utah 84104	26,430,530	(2)	15.4	1,025,000	73.2	128,930,530 41.4
Robin Wilson 2640 W. California Ave. Salt Lake City, Utah 84104	6,630,000	(3)	3.9	125,000	8.9	19,130,000 6.1
All officers and directors as a group (2 persons)	33,060,530		19.3	1,150,000	82.1	148,060,530 47.6

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, each person identified in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the common stock beneficially owned by such person. The total number of outstanding shares included in the computation of percentages is 171,416,289.
- (2) Includes 10,820,607 shares owned by David Groen, 2,453,000 shares beneficially owned held by family trusts and 13,156,923 options exercisable by David Groen at June 30, 2010 or within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (3) Includes 300,000 shares owned by Robin Wilson, 100,000 shares beneficially owned held by family trusts and 6,230,000 options exercisable by Robin Wilson at June 30, 2010 or within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (4) Includes 50,000 shares owned by Dennis Gauger and 4,865,000 options exercisable by Dennis Gauger at June 30, 2010 or within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (5) Includes 4,055,100 shares beneficially owned by Margaret Groen held by a trust and by the estate of Jay Groen, 1,500,000 options exercisable by Margaret Groen at June 30, 2010 or within 60 days of June 30, 2010, and 1,050,000 options beneficially owned and exercisable by a trust and by the estate of Jay Groen at June 30, 2010 or within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (6) Each share of Series A preferred stock entitles the holder to cast one hundred (100) votes on all matters submitted to the stockholders for a vote, voting together with the holders of the common stock as a single class.

David Groen, President and Chief Executive Officer, owns 1,025,000 shares of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, and Robin Wilson, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Dennis Gauger, former officer and director, and Margaret Groen, the surviving spouse of the late Jay Groen, each owns 125,000 shares of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, combined representing 100% of the outstanding shares of that series of preferred stock. Each share of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock entitles the holder to cast one hundred (100) votes, or a total of 140,000,000 votes on all matters submitted to the stockholders for a vote, voting together with the holders of the common stock of the Company as a single class.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Related Party Transactions

At June 30, 2009, we had a note payable to David Groen of \$197,000, plus accrued interest totaling \$68,000. The note bears interest at 18% and is due on demand.

At June 30, 2009, we had notes payable to Robin Wilson totaling \$254,000, plus accrued interest totaling \$99,000. The notes bear interest at rates of 8% to 18% and are due on demand.

At June 30, 2009, our current liabilities included short-term notes payable to related parties (including the notes payable to executive officers discussed in the two preceding paragraphs), primarily stockholders of the Company, totaling \$61,161,000, with accrued interest payable of \$11,077,000. Of these notes payable to related parties, notes with an aggregate principal balance of \$47,924,000 are payable to the holders of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock. These notes are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company, bear interest rates from 15% to 36% and are payable on October 9, 2010. Other notes payable to related parties with an aggregate principal balance of \$13,237,000 are generally unsecured, due on demand, and bear interest at annual rates ranging from 5% to 50%. Included in these notes payable at June 30, 2009 are notes payable totaling \$2,553,000 that are technically in default. In addition, we are delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$1,281,000 on this related party debt at June 30, 2009.

Royalty payments of 1% of the gross sales price of gyroplanes are to be paid to our founders, David Groen and the estate of the late Jay Groen. Through June 30, 2009, royalties payable totaled \$16,000 to each of these parties, which amounts are included in cost of sales in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Included in long-term accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009 is deferred compensation payable to twelve management employees or former employees, including officers and directors of the Company, with amounts originating from fiscal year 1998 through fiscal year 2009. In addition to cash compensation, we have a deferred compensation arrangement for executive officers and certain of our senior management that accrues additional salary. The terms of our Series B Preferred Stock preclude the Company from making any deferred compensation payments until all outstanding amounts due relating to the Series B Preferred Stock have been paid in full. Absent payment restrictions related to outstanding Series B Preferred Stock or other restrictions, the deferred compensation is payable in part or in whole only by resolution of our Board of Directors. Deferred compensation totaling \$5,097,000, related accrued payroll taxes of \$178,000 and related accrued interest payable of \$299,000 are classified as long-term liabilities at June 30, 2009. Through June 30, 2009, the Board of Directors has not authorized payment of any of the deferred compensation, and will not authorize payments until the Board determines such payments are allowed under our outstanding financing agreements and would be prudent in light of our financial condition and availability of cash. In fiscal year 2001, we began accruing interest expense on the deferred compensation at the rate of 8% per annum. The accrual of interest was permanently discontinued on July 1, 2004.

Director Independence

We currently do not have any directors considered to be independent pursuant to Rule 4200 of the National Association of Securities Dealers' listing standards.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The following schedule presents the professional fees incurred to HJ & Associates, LLC, our independent registered accountants, for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

	2009	2008
Audit fees	\$59,500	\$ 88,750
Audit related fees	-	-
Tax fees	-	5,167
Other fees	-	-
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total	\$59,500	\$ 93,917

Audit Fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered by our principal accountant for the audit of our financial statements, review of financial statements included in our quarterly reports and other fees that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements. Audit Related Fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services by our principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements. Tax fees were for preparation of federal and state income tax returns and related tax consultation.

All professional fees paid to our independent registered accountants are pre-approved by the Board of Directors of the Company, acting in its capacity as the Audit Committee.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed November 24, 2008 (2)
3.2	First Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed March 17, 2009 (2)
3.3	Second Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed July 1, 2009 (2)
3.4	Third Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed October 5, 2009 (2)
3.6	Fifth Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed March 3, 2010 (2)
3.7	Sixth Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed June 17, 2010*

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description of Exhibit</u>
3.8	Seventh Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. filed July 13, 2010*
3.9	By-laws(3)
4.1	Amended and Restated 2000 Option Plan(4)
10.1	Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 9, 2008 by and among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lenders named therein (2)
10.2	Form of Promissory Notes Issued under the Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 9, 2008 and the schedule of Notes issued to date*
10.3	Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of January 20, 2009 among the Company and the Lenders under the Note Purchase Agreement (2)
10.4	Amended and Restated IP Security Agreement dated as of October 9, 2008 among the Company and the Lenders under the Note Purchase Agreement (2)
10.5	Form of Promissory Notes Issued under the Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 9, 2008 in payment of Series B Preferred Stock dividends and the schedule of Notes issued to date (2)
10.6	First Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and the lender named therein dated as of October 9, 2008 with regard to the 2006/2007 Notes (2)
10.7	First Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and the lender named therein dated as of October 9, 2008 with regard to 2007 Notes (2)
10.8	Second Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and the lender named therein dated as of March 2, 2009 with regard to the 2006/2007 Notes(1)
10.9	Second Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and the lender named therein dated as of March 2, 2009 with regard to 2007 Notes(1)
10.10	First Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of March 2, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and the lenders named therein(1)
10.11	Second Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of May 29, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and the lenders named therein*
10.12	Third Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of August 7, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and the lenders named therein*
10.13	Third Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender E named therein dated as of August 7, 2009*
10.14	Third Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender B named therein dated as of August 7, 2009*
10.15	Fourth Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of December 3, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender A named therein*

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description of Exhibit</u>
10.16	Fourth Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of December 3, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender B named therein*
10.17	Fourth Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of December 3, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender C named therein*
10.18	Fourth Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of December 3, 2009 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender D named therein*
10.19	Fourth Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender E named therein dated as of December 3, 2009*
10.20	Fourth Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender B named therein dated as of December 3, 2009*
10.21	Third Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender D named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.22	Third Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender D named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.23	Third Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender C named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.24	Third Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender C named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.25	Fifth Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender E named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.26	Fifth Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender A named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.27	Sixth Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender E named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.28	Sixth Amendment to Promissory Notes between Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender B named therein dated as of March 2, 2010*
10.29	Seventh Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender B named therein*
10.30	Seventh Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender C named therein*
10.31	Seventh Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender D named therein*
10.32	Seventh Amendment to Note Purchase Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lender A named therein*
10.33	Omnibus Joinder and Amendment Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lenders B and F named therein*
10.34	Omnibus Joinder and Amendment Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lenders C and F named therein*
10.35	Omnibus Joinder and Amendment Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lenders D and F named therein*

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
10.36	Omnibus Joinder and Amendment Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lenders A and F named therein*
10.37	Omnibus Joinder and Amendment Agreement dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc., Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. and Lenders F and G named therein*
10.38	Fifth Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender C named therein*
10.39	Fifth Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender D named therein*
10.40	Fifth Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender C named therein*
10.41	Fifth Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender D named therein*
10.42	Seventh Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender B named therein*
10.43	Seventh Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender A named therein*
10.44	Seventh Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender G named therein*
10.45	Eighth Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender B named therein*
10.46	Eighth Amendment to Promissory Notes dated as of June 25, 2010 among Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and Lender G named therein*
10.47	Secured Promissory Note dated June 28, 2010 from Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. to the lender named therein*
10.48	Escrow Agreement dated as of June 28, 2010 among the lender named therein, Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and the servicing company named therein*
11	Statement regarding computation of per share earnings -- included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements filed with this report
14.1	Groen Brothers Aviation Corporate Values(5)
14.2	Standards of Conduct(5)
21	Subsidiaries of the registrant*
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Accounting Firm*
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer*
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer*

* Exhibits filed with this report.

(1) Exhibits filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) Exhibits filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.

(3) Exhibits filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(4) Exhibits filed with the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed June 10, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(5) Exhibits filed with the Company's report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.

/s/ David Groen

David Groen, President, Chief Executive Officer
and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer)

Date: July 30, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ David Groen</u> David Groen	Director	July 30, 2010
<u>/s/ Robert Wilson</u> Robert Wilson	Director	July 30, 2010

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – HJ & Associates, LLC	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc.
Salt Lake City, Utah

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended June 30, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended June 30, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We were not engaged to examine management's assessment of the effectiveness of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, included in the accompanying Form 10-K and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company's operating losses and lack of working capital raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

HJ & Associates, LLC
Salt Lake City, Utah
July 30, 2010

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

<u>Assets</u>	June 30,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 115,000	\$ 3,000
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$7,000	124,000	14,000
Related party accounts and notes receivable	2,000	5,000
Prepaid expenses	12,000	6,000
Total current assets	253,000	28,000
Property and equipment, net	59,000	133,000
Total assets	\$ 312,000	\$ 161,000
<u>Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit</u>		
Current liabilities:		
Bank overdraft	\$ -	\$ 22,000
Bank overdraft line of credit	-	47,000
Accounts payable	2,526,000	4,232,000
Accrued expenses	12,705,000	9,429,000
Notes payable and current portion of capital lease obligations	1,405,000	564,000
Related party notes payable	61,161,000	17,483,000
Series B 15% cumulative redeemable non-voting preferred stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 37,776 and 68,095 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	37,776,000	68,095,000
Total current liabilities	115,573,000	99,872,000
Long-term liabilities:		
Accrued expenses	5,574,000	5,192,000
Deferred revenue	25,000	25,000
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	95,000	102,000
Related party long-term debt	-	166,000
Dealer deposits	2,105,000	2,105,000
Total liabilities	123,372,000	107,462,000
Stockholders' deficit:		
Series A convertible preferred stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 1,400,000 shares issued and outstanding	70,000	70,000
Common stock, no par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 171,416,289 shares issued and outstanding	34,745,000	34,390,000
Accumulated deficit	(157,875,000)	(141,761,000)
Total stockholders' deficit	(123,060,000)	(107,301,000)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 312,000	\$ 161,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Years Ended June 30,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Revenues	\$ 1,086,000	\$ 5,922,000
Costs and expenses:		
Cost of sales	926,000	7,331,000
Research and development	337,000	1,500,000
General and administrative expenses	997,000	3,028,000
Impairment loss	24,000	504,000
Total costs and expenses	2,284,000	12,363,000
Loss from operations	(1,198,000)	(6,441,000)
Other income (expense):		
Related party interest income	3,000	4,000
Interest and other income	1,000	14,000
Gain on sale of property and equipment	45,000	-
Gain on extinguishment of debt	8,000	8,000
Interest expense	(8,330,000)	(4,098,000)
Series B preferred stock interest expense	(6,643,000)	(9,324,000)
Total other income (expense)	(14,916,000)	(13,396,000)
Loss before income taxes	(16,114,000)	(19,837,000)
Income tax benefit	-	-
Net loss	\$ (16,114,000)	\$ (19,837,000)
Net loss per share – basic and diluted	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.13)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic and diluted	166,066,000	154,040,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit
Years Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

	Series A Convertible		Common Stock		Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Preferred Stock			Common Stock		
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Balance forward, June 30, 2007	1,400,000	\$ 70,000	154,522,431	\$ 31,861,000	\$ (121,924,000)	\$ (89,993,000)
Issuance of common stock for:						
Cash	-	-	2,353,661	259,000	-	259,000
Accrued expenses	-	-	2,095,060	138,000	-	138,000
Interest expense	-	-	3,884,106	499,000	-	499,000
Repayment of debt	-	-	5,402,271	364,000	-	364,000
Employer 401(k) expense	-	-	3,059,760	306,000	-	306,000
Services	-	-	99,000	10,000	-	10,000
Adjustment to finders' compensation	-	-	-	15,000	-	15,000
Issuance of stock options for:						
Interest expense	-	-	-	174,000	-	174,000
Services	-	-	-	8,000	-	8,000
Stock-based compensation	-	-	-	701,000	-	701,000
Contributed capital for debt extinguishment	-	-	-	55,000	-	55,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(19,837,000)	(19,837,000)
Balance, June 30, 2008	1,400,000	70,000	171,416,289	34,390,000	(141,761,000)	(107,301,000)
Issuance of stock options for:						
Interest expense	-	-	-	32,000	-	32,000
Services	-	-	-	7,000	-	7,000
Stock-based compensation	-	-	-	311,000	-	311,000
Adjustment to finders' compensation	-	-	-	5,000	-	5,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(16,114,000)	(16,114,000)
Balance, June 30, 2009	1,400,000	\$ 70,000	171,416,289	\$ 34,745,000	\$ 157,875,000	\$ (123,060,000)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Years Ended June 30,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (16,114,000)	\$ (19,837,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	53,000	248,000
Stock-based compensation	311,000	701,000
Common stock issued for interest expense and prepaid interest	-	499,000
Common stock issued for services	-	10,000
Common stock issued for 401(k) expense	-	306,000
Contributed capital for debt extinguishment	-	55,000
Stock options and warrants issued for interest expense	32,000	174,000
Stock options and warrants issued for services	7,000	8,000
Interest expense accrued on Series B preferred stock	6,643,000	9,324,000
Interest expense added to debt principal	4,214,000	13,000
Interest income added to related party notes receivable	-	(3,000)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(8,000)	(8,000)
Impairment loss	24,000	504,000
(Gain) loss on disposal of property and equipment	(45,000)	46,000
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts and notes receivable	(110,000)	1,000
Prepaid expenses	(6,000)	25,000
Inventories	-	449,000
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	(824,000)	626,000
Accrued expenses	3,668,000	4,207,000
Deferred revenue	-	(374,000)
Dealer deposits	-	(40,000)
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,155,000)	(3,066,000)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	-	(157,000)
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	27,000	1,000
Increase in related party accounts and notes receivable	-	(7,000)
Payments of related party notes receivable	2,000	4,000
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	29,000	(159,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from the issuance of debt	2,473,000	8,594,000
Repayment of debt and capital lease obligations	(166,000)	(5,753,000)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock and stock options	-	259,000
Increase (decrease) in bank overdraft and bank overdraft line of credit	(69,000)	69,000
Payment of finders' compensation on issuance of common stock	-	(1,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,238,000	3,168,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash	112,000	(57,000)
Cash, beginning of year	3,000	60,000
Cash, end of year	\$ 115,000	\$ 3,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Principles of Consolidation – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Groen Brothers Aviation, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Groen Brothers Aviation USA, Inc. (“GBA-USA”), (collectively, the “Company”). All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated. The primary business purpose of the Company is to develop, manufacture and market the gyroplane, which activities are considered one business segment.

Concentration of Credit Risk – The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Cash and Cash Equivalents – For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all cash and investments with original maturities to the Company of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company had no cash equivalents at June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Accounts Receivable – Trade accounts receivable are carried at original invoice amount less an estimate made for doubtful accounts. Management of the Company determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by identifying potential troubled accounts and by using historical experience and future expectations applied to an aging of accounts. Trade accounts receivable are written off when deemed uncollectible. Recoveries of trade accounts receivable previously written off are recorded as income when received. Management determined that an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7,000 was required at June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Inventories – Raw materials and parts inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using primarily the first-in-first-out (FIFO) method. Work-in-process inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined on estimated average unit costs. The cost of parts inventories manufactured by the Company and work-in-process inventories include an allocation of overhead costs comprised of labor, operating supplies, utilities, depreciation, rent and other facility costs. The Company had no raw material and parts inventories and no work-in-process inventories at June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Contract-related expenses incurred on by the Company on its long-term government and commercial contracts and subcontracts, including its own labor, travel, supplies and other costs, and the costs of subcontractors and consultants, are generally deferred as work-in-process inventories and expensed to cost of sales as the contract revenue for each milestone of a particular contract is recognized. When a loss on a contract is projected, however, all contract-related costs and expenses are expensed as incurred. At June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company had no work-in-process inventories related to long-term contracts or subcontracts.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Property and Equipment – Property and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using accelerated and straight-line methods based on the estimated useful lives of the assets or term of the lease. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$53,000 and \$248,000 for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed and any resulting gain or loss is recognized in operations for the period. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to operations as incurred. Significant renewals and betterments are capitalized.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets – The Company periodically reviews its long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company evaluates, at each balance sheet date, whether events and circumstances have occurred which indicate possible impairment. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired when the anticipated cumulative undiscounted cash flows of the related asset or group of assets is less than the carrying value. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair market value of the long-lived asset. As a result of the substantial decrease in its operations, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$24,000 and \$504,000 during the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Dealer Deposits – Dealer deposits consist of amounts received from the Company's authorized dealers on aircraft in anticipation of full-scale production of the Company's Hawk 4 gyroplane. The deposit guarantees a delivery sequence number and represents a percentage of the total estimated purchase price. The Company has also issued common stock to dealers as partial consideration for the delay in the certification of the Hawk 4 gyroplane. These costs have been charged to interest expense as incurred. The dealers have been given the opportunity to convert a portion of their deposits into shares of the Company's restricted common stock. Those dealers that have converted deposits into shares and are now stockholders of the Company are considered related parties. The Company continues its efforts to obtain the funding to complete the certification of the Hawk 4. Once such funding is obtained, the Company estimates the certification process will require two to three years to complete. Because of the long-term prospects of obtaining the funding and completing the certification, dealer deposits have been recorded as long-term liabilities.

Research and Development Costs – Research and development costs are expensed as incurred in accordance with ASC Topic 730, *Research and Development*. The costs of materials and other costs acquired for research and development activities are charged to expense as incurred. Salaries, wages, and other related costs of personnel, as well as other facility operating costs are allocated to research and development expense through management's estimate of the percentage of time spent by personnel in research and development activities. Research and development expenses were \$337,000 and \$1,500,000 for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Revenue Recognition – The Company recognizes revenues from goods and services when there is a binding agreement, the product has been completely shipped or service has been delivered, collection is reasonably assured, and the Company has no significant obligations remaining. Portions of the purchase price of the Company's products collected from customers in advance of product delivery are recorded as deferred revenue. Therefore, revenues from the sale of SparrowHawk gyroplane kits are not

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

recorded until all kit components and parts are delivered to the customer and collection of any remaining amounts due is reasonably assured.

The Company recognizes revenue on its current government contract as each defined milestone is completed and the requisite meetings are held and technical data submitted and accepted by DARPA. At that time, DARPA instructs the Company to submit an invoice for payment for the respective milestone at the amount specified in the contract. Contract-related expenses incurred by the Company for each milestone of the contract, including its own labor, travel, supplies and other costs, and the costs of subcontractors and consultants, are deferred as work-in-process inventory and expensed to cost of sales as the contract revenue for the milestone is recognized. When a loss on a contract is projected, however, all contract-related costs and expenses are expensed as incurred.

The Company recognizes revenue on commercial and sub-contractor contracts as each scheduled phase of the contract is completed and invoices are submitted. Contract-related expenses incurred by the Company for each phase of the contract, including its own labor, travel, supplies and other costs, and the costs of subcontractors and consultants, are deferred as work-in-process inventory and expensed to cost of sales as the contract revenue for the milestone is recognized. When a loss on a contract is projected, however, all contract-related costs and expenses are expensed as incurred.

Accounting Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Stock-Based Compensation – The Company has adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, which requires the Company to measure the compensation cost of stock options and other stock-based awards to employees and directors at fair value at the grant date and recognize compensation expense over the requisite service period for awards expected to vest. The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$311,000 and \$701,000 for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The grant-date fair value of stock options and other stock-based awards is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The stock-based compensation expense has been allocated to the various categories of costs and expenses in a manner similar to the allocation of payroll expense.

Non-Employee Stock Options and Warrants – In accordance with ASC Topic 505-50, *Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees*, the Company estimates the fair value of the consideration recorded for stock options and warrants issued to non-employees using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. For those stock options and warrants that have variable characteristics, the Company will continue to use this methodology to periodically reassess the fair value of the consideration to determine if the value of the consideration recorded in the consolidated financial statements requires adjustment. Changes in the assumptions used in the option-pricing model, including the market price of the Company’s common stock and risk-free interest rates, may result in fluctuations in the estimated fair value and carrying value of the consideration recorded for variable non-employee stock options and warrants.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity – In accordance with ASC Topic 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, the Company reports its Series B Preferred Stock as a liability. This pronouncement establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances).

Income Taxes – The Company accounts for income taxes according to the asset and liability method. The asset and liability method requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between tax bases and financial reporting bases of existing assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Loss per Common and Common Equivalent Share – The computation of basic loss per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year.

The computation of diluted loss per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period plus common stock equivalents which would arise primarily from the exercise of stock options and warrants outstanding using the treasury stock method and the average market price per share during the year. Options and warrants to purchase 51,665,467 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.07 to \$1.10 and 73,885,272 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.07 to \$1.10 were outstanding at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Certain notes payable, long-term debt and related accrued interest payable were convertible into a total of 69,772,313 and 62,570,324 shares of common stock at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, with conversion prices ranging from \$0.10 to \$1.25. Common stock equivalents were not included in the diluted loss per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Restricted shares of common stock issued as collateral for notes payable are excluded from the calculation of loss per common share.

Reclassifications – Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2008 have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements – In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162*. The Codification became the source of authoritative U.S. generally accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied to nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification became nonauthoritative. This statement was effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 (the Company's

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

quarter ended September 30, 2009). The implementation of this pronouncement had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On May 28, 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*, which is now included in ASC Topic 855, *Subsequent Events*. This statement is intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date—that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This disclosure is intended to alert all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. The statement is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, or the Company's fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The implementation of this pronouncement had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 2: Going Concern Uncertainty

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. Because of recurring operating losses, the excess of current liabilities over current assets, the stockholders' deficit, and negative cash flows from operations, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

At June 30, 2009, the Company had total current liabilities of \$115,573,000 and current assets of \$253,000, resulting in a working capital deficiency of \$115,320,000. At June 30, 2009, the Company had a total stockholders' deficit of \$123,060,000.

The Company has experienced a negative gross profit on sales of Sparrow Hawk kits and the number of SparrowHawk kits sold has fallen below expectations, due in part to lack of funding to finalize product development and to pay for increased sales and marketing efforts. In these circumstances, prospects of reaching a satisfactory profit level in a deteriorating economic climate were not promising. As importantly, the Company determined that the kit aircraft business, aimed at customers for their personal use could not be readily compatible with the design, manufacture and marketing of more sophisticated aircraft required by military and commercial customers. Therefore, in May 2008, the Company decided to cease production of the SparrowHawk and to seek to sell the program to a buyer with more compatible operating conditions and strategic interests.

The Company has completed work on those milestones of Phase I of the DARPA contract where it served as prime contractor and on Phase IB of the DARPA contract where it served as a subcontractor for rotor systems work to the Georgia Institute of Technology (GT). As of the date of this report, DARPA has not yet announced funding for Phase II and the future involvement of the Company in the DARPA contract is uncertain.

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent on attaining profitable operations, obtaining additional outside financing and/or restructuring its debt obligations, including its Series B Preferred Stock. The Company has funded losses from operations primarily from the issuance of debt to

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

related parties (current shareholders and lenders of the Company), the increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and the sale of the Company's restricted common stock in private placement transactions. Currently, the Company has no source of operating revenues, and will require additional funding from these sources to sustain its future operations.

In order to repay its debt obligations in full or in part when due, the Company will be required to raise significant capital from other sources. Alternatively, the Company will be required to negotiate further extensions of the Series B Preferred Stock maturity date and its notes payable, as it has accomplished in the past. There is no assurance, however, that the Company will be successful in these efforts.

During 2006 and 2007, the Company obtained debt financing from the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock (the "Series B Holders") in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,400,000 (the "2006/2007 Notes"). The 2006/2007 Notes provide for the payment of simple interest at the rate of 36% per annum. The maturity date for the 2006/2007 Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

Included in current liabilities and the working capital deficiency at June 30, 2009 is a \$37,776,000 Series B Preferred Stock obligation. The Series B Holders have agreed to extend the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock from time to time and the current redemption date is October 9, 2010, or such later date as agreed to in writing by at least 80% of the Series B Holders.

In October 2008, as part of a Note Purchase Agreement between the Company and the Series B Holders, the Company issued short-term interest bearing notes in the principal amount of \$36,962,000 in satisfaction of the accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock through October 9, 2008 (the "Dividend Notes"). Because the Company had paid such dividends in kind by issuing additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock, the issuance of the Dividend Notes resulted in the redemption of \$36,962,000 of Series B Preferred Stock. The Dividend Notes provide for the payment of interest at the rate of 15% per annum, compounded quarterly. The maturity date for the Dividend Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

The Note Purchase Agreement, as amended, provides for the periodic sale by the Company to the lenders of short-term promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$12,750,000 to provide the Company with operating capital, as specified in the draw requests for such notes (the "Note Purchase Notes"). The draw requests must be approved by the lenders. Through June 30, 2009, the lenders had purchased notes under the Note Purchase Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,367,000 and the proceeds had been used by the Company to cover its minimum cash needs in excess of funding provided by payments from Georgia Tech for the Company's work on the DARPA contract. The Note Purchase Notes provide for the payment of simple interest at the rate of 15% per annum. The maturity date for the Note Purchase Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

Substantially all assets of the Company, including its intellectual property, have been pledged as collateral for the Company's debt.

There can be no guarantee or assurance that the Company will be successful in its ability to generate income from operations, or to raise capital at favorable rates or at all. The condensed

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Note 3: DARPA Contract

The Company announced on November 7, 2005 that the US Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (“DARPA”) has selected the Company to lead a team to design a proof of concept high speed, long range, vertical takeoff and landing (“VTOL”) aircraft. This modern rotorcraft, named the “Heliplane” by DARPA, is an intended demonstration vehicle for future gyrodynes to be used in combat search and rescue roles.

In Phase I of this potential multi-year \$55 million four-phase program, the Company was awarded a fifteen month \$6.4 million contract to develop the preliminary design and perform key technology demonstrations. On September 19, 2007, the DARPA contract was modified, increasing the contract award for Phase I from \$6.4 million to \$10.4 million, and extending the term of Phase I from fifteen to twenty-three months. On March 5, 2008, the DARPA contract was modified, increasing the contract award for Phase I from \$10.4 million to \$10.9 million, adding an intermediary Phase IB to the base contract, and extending the term of the contract from twenty-three to thirty-six months. Phase I and Phase IB of this potential multi-year program consist of developing the Heliplane through the level of the Preliminary Design Review (PDR) and performance of key technology demonstrations.

Phase I ended with a successful PDR in November 2007, except for final reports and some continued work on completing a test article rotor blade for the Heliplane. The Company received final payment on Phase I in February 2009. The “kickoff meeting” for Phase IB took place in February of 2008; however, the Company could no longer bridge the large financial gap that this type of program, and the way it pays, created. Thus, Phase IB was suspended until a new contractor could be found to replace the Company in its role as prime contractor for the Heliplane, with the Company to become the primary “subcontractor” supplying rotor system design and analysis work in support of Phase IB.

In January 2009, DARPA announced the award of the Heliplane prime contractor position to the Georgia Institute of Technology (GT) for Phase IB, which phase has now been completed. The Company was engaged as a GT subcontractor for rotor systems work of Phase IB, with final payment received from GT by the Company in September 2009.

Substantial portions of Phase I payments were paid by the Company to subcontractors and consultants hired by the Company. Payments under this contract were conditional upon the Company attaining several milestone objectives during the course of Phase I of the contract.

The Company recognized revenue on this contract as each defined milestone was completed and the requisite meetings were held and technical data submitted and accepted by DARPA. At that time, DARPA instructed the Company to submit an invoice for payment for the respective milestone at the amounts specified in the contract. Because the Company experienced a negative profit margin on Phase I of the DARPA contract, all contract-related costs and expenses were expensed as incurred. Through June 30, 2009, the Company recognized revenues totaling \$10,690,000 from the DARPA contract, \$830,000 recognized in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, \$5,070,000 recognized in the fiscal year

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

ended June 30, 2008, \$2,440,000 recognized in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, and \$2,350,000 recognized in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

As of the date of this report, DARPA has not yet announced funding for Phase II and the future involvement of the Company in the DARPA contract is uncertain.

Note 4: Loss Per Common Share

The computation of basic net loss per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The computation of diluted net loss per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period plus common stock equivalents which would arise from the exercise of stock options and warrants outstanding using the treasury stock method and the average market price per share during the period, as well as common shares issuable upon the conversion of debt to common stock. Common stock equivalents were not included in the diluted loss per share calculation because the effect would have been antidilutive.

The calculation of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding excludes common shares that have been issued as collateral for certain notes payable to related parties (Note 9). These collateral shares are restricted and bear a legend prohibiting the holder from selling or transferring the shares at any time. The Company has assigned no value to these shares, and the terms of the notes payable require the holder of the collateral shares to return the shares to the Company when the applicable note and accrued interest are paid in full. At June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company had issued 5,350,000 shares of common stock as collateral.

Note 5: Related Party Accounts and Notes Receivable

Related party accounts and notes receivable at June 30, 2009 and 2008 resulted primarily from cash advances to employees, and include unsecured notes receivable totaling \$2,000 and \$5,000 from a former employee at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which bear interest at 7% per annum.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Note 6: Detail of Certain Balance Sheet Accounts

Property and equipment consists of the following at June 30:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Equipment and tools	\$ 32,000	\$ 783,000
Computer equipment and software	377,000	564,000
Aircraft	66,000	203,000
Vehicles	71,000	71,000
Leasehold improvements	-	46,000
Furniture	-	57,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	546,000	1,724,000
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(487,000)	(1,591,000)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 59,000	\$ 133,000

Substantially all of the property and equipment has been pledged as collateral for debt.

Accounts payable consist of the following at June 30:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Trade accounts payable	\$ 2,202,000	\$ 3,720,000
Related party payables	324,000	512,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 2,526,000	\$ 4,232,000

Dealer deposits consist of the following at June 30:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Related party dealer deposits	\$ 1,701,000	\$ 1,701,000
Dealer deposits	404,000	404,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 2,105,000	\$ 2,105,000

Related parties consist of officers, directors, employees and shareholders.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Note 7: Accrued Expenses:

Accrued expenses reported as current liabilities consist of the following at June 30:

	2009	2008
Compensation and related taxes	\$ 543,000	\$ 1,243,000
Related party interest	11,066,000	7,175,000
Interest	703,000	601,000
SparrowHawk customer deposits	260,000	260,000
Consulting fees	33,000	45,000
Finders' compensation	9,000	14,000
Royalties to related parties	32,000	32,000
Other	59,000	59,000
Total	\$ 12,705,000	\$ 9,429,000

Accrued related party interest payable is comprised of interest expense payable on notes payable to related parties, consisting primarily of stockholders of the Company.

Long-term accrued expenses consist of the following at June 30:

	2009	2008
Deferred compensation	\$ 5,097,000	\$ 4,722,000
Accrued payroll taxes on deferred compensation	178,000	171,000
Accrued interest on deferred compensation	299,000	299,000
Total	\$ 5,574,000	\$ 5,192,000

The deferred compensation is payable to certain current and former officers, directors, and senior management executives of the Company, with amounts originating from fiscal year 1998 through fiscal year 2009. In addition to cash compensation, the Company has a deferred compensation arrangement for executive officers and certain of its senior management that accrues additional salary. The terms of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock preclude the Company from making any deferred compensation payments until all outstanding amounts due relating to the Series B Preferred Stock have been paid in full. Absent payment restrictions related to outstanding Series B Preferred Stock or other restrictions, the deferred compensation is payable in part or in whole only by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors. Through June 30, 2008, the Board of Directors has not authorized payment of any of the deferred compensation, and will not authorize payments until the Board determines such payments are allowed under the Company's outstanding financing agreements and would be prudent in light of the Company's financial condition and availability of cash. In fiscal year 2001, the Company began accruing interest expense on the deferred compensation at the rate of 8% per annum. The accrual of interest was permanently discontinued on July 1, 2004. The deferred compensation and related accrued payroll taxes and interest payable are classified as long-term liabilities at June 30, 2009 and 2008 as the Company does not anticipate payment of any of these amounts in the next twelve months.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Note 8: Notes Payable and Capital Lease Obligations

Current notes payable are comprised of the following at June 30:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Unsecured note payable to GT with interest at 12%, currently in default	\$ 844,000	\$ -
Unsecured notes payable to vendors with interest at 20% for the first three months and 25% thereafter, currently in default	247,000	251,000
Unsecured note payable to a vendor with interest at 12%, currently in default	272,000	272,000
Unsecured note payable to an individual, with interest at 5%, due on demand	24,000	24,000
Unsecured note payable to a company with interest at 10.5%, due on demand	10,000	10,000
Current portion of capital lease obligations (Note 10)	8,000	7,000
	<u>\$ 1,405,000</u>	<u>\$ 564,000</u>

Short-term notes payable include notes payable totaling \$1,363,000 and \$523,000 that were technically in default at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, the Company is delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$679,000 and \$580,000 on this debt at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company maintains contact with most of these lenders and has, in most instances, been granted grace periods and extensions without receipt of formal notices of default or threat of legal action.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Note 9: Related Party Notes Payable

Related party notes payable are comprised of the following notes payable either due on demand or within the twelve months at June 30:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Series B Holders:		
Dividend Notes with interest at 15%, compounded quarterly, secured by substantially all assets of the Company	\$ 41,157,000	\$ -
Note Purchase Notes with interest at 15%, secured by substantially all assets of the Company	2,367,000	-
2006/2007 Notes with interest at 36%, secured by substantially all assets of the Company	4,400,000	4,400,000
Other Related Parties:		
Unsecured notes payable to stockholders with interest at 18%	4,793,000	4,593,000
Unsecured note payable to stockholder with interest at 16%	50,000	50,000
Unsecured notes payable to stockholders with interest at 12%	4,728,000	4,506,000
Unsecured note payable to stockholders with interest at 50%	162,000	162,000
Unsecured notes payable to stockholders with interest at 24%	1,161,000	1,161,000
Unsecured note payable to a stockholder with interest at 25%	20,000	20,000
Unsecured note payable to stockholder with interest at 12.5%	100,000	100,000
Unsecured note payable to stockholder with interest at 48%	165,000	165,000
Unsecured notes payable to stockholders with interest at 8%	641,000	869,000
Unsecured note payable to stockholder with interest at 5%	188,000	188,000
Unsecured note payable to an entity owned by certain members of senior management, with interest at 12%	184,000	184,000
Note payable to a stockholder with interest at 12%, secured by aircraft	-	40,000

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

(Continued)

Note payable to a stockholder with interest at 18%, due on demand, secured by research and development parts	50,000	50,000
Notes payable to stockholders with interest at 12%, secured by shares of the Company's common stock	470,000	470,000
Unsecured note payable to a stockholders with interest at 12%, convertible into shares of the Company's common stock upon successful completion of proposed financing/recapitalization	310,000	310,000
Notes payable to stockholders with interest at 10%, secured by shares of the Company's common stock	215,000	215,000
	<u>215,000</u>	<u>215,000</u>
	<u>\$ 61,161,000</u>	<u>\$ 17,483,000</u>

Related party notes payable at June 30, 2009 and 2008 include notes payable totaling \$2,553,000 and \$6,733,000, respectively, which are technically in default. In addition, the Company is delinquent in making payments of accrued interest payable of \$1,281,000 and \$2,782,000 on this related party debt at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Certain shareholder related party note holders may choose to convert outstanding principal and interest balances to common stock of the Company. The conversion prices per share range from \$0.10 per share to \$1.25 per share. At June 30, 2009, \$18,317,000 of principal and interest is convertible into 69,772,313 shares of the Company's common stock (see Note 12).

A total of 5,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock have been issued as collateral for related party notes payable totaling \$685,000 at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Note 10: Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations

Long-term debt is comprised of the following at June 30:

	2009	2008
Unsecured note payable to a government-sponsored organization, due in monthly installments of \$200 with final payment of \$87,000 due December 2010	\$ 90,000	\$ 92,000
Capital lease obligations (Note 11)	13,000	17,000
	103,000	109,000
Less current portion (Note 8)	(8,000)	(7,000)
	\$ 95,000	\$ 102,000
Total	\$ 95,000	\$ 102,000

Future maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

Years Ending June 30:

2010	\$ 8,000
2011	93,000
2012	2,000
	103,000
Less current portion	(8,000)
Long-term portion	\$ 95,000

Note 11: Capital Lease Obligations

The Company has entered into capital lease agreements with financial institutions for office equipment. Assets held under capital lease included in property and equipment are as follows at June 30:

	2009	2008
Office equipment	\$ 12,000	\$ 24,000
Accumulated amortization	(6,000)	(7,000)
	\$ 6,000	\$ 17,000
	\$ 6,000	\$ 17,000

Amortization expense for assets under capital lease is included with depreciation expense for all other depreciable assets.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

Year Ending June 30:		
2010	\$	6,000
2011		6,000
2012		2,000
		<u>14,000</u>
Less amount representing interest		<u>(1,000)</u>
Present value of minimum lease payments (Note 10)	\$	<u><u>13,000</u></u>

Note 12: Preferred Stock

The Company has authorized 200,000,000 shares of preferred stock having no par value. There are two series of preferred stock with 50,000,000 shares authorized within each series. The rights, terms and preferences of preferred stock are set by the Board of Directors. As of June 30, 2009, the Board of Directors has set rights, terms and preferences of Series A and Series B Preferred Stock for issue.

Series A Convertible Preferred Stock

As of June 30, 2009, 1,400,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock were issued and outstanding, and held by the following: David Groen, President and member of the Board of Directors, 1,025,000 shares; Robin Wilson, Senior Vice President and member of the Board of Directors 125,000 shares; the widow of the late Jay Groen 125,000 shares; and Dennis Gauger, former member of the Board of Directors, 125,000 shares.

The rights, terms and preferences of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, as amended, are summarized as follows:

- Each share may cast one hundred (100) votes on all matters submitted to the stockholders for a vote, voting together with the holders of the common stock of the Company as a single class, effectively giving current voting control to the Company's founders.
- The voting rights expire seven years from the date of issue, or October 8, 2011.
- Upon, and only upon, the Company reaching significant revenue milestones, the shares are convertible into common stock of the Company through payment of a cash conversion price of \$0.50 per share of common stock, convertible on a one-for-one hundred (1:100) basis (100 shares of common stock for each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock). Conversion is allowed at the rate of 25% of the preferred shares for each \$30 million in defined cumulative gross sales, for a total of \$120 million in sales. This convertibility is also only available if these

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

significant revenue milestones are met within seven years from the date of issue of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

- The shares, including all voting and conversion rights, to the extent not converted into common shares, will expire seven years from the date of issue, and will be cancelled by the Company.
- Upon the death or permanent incapacity of a holder of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, all shares held by such holder will be divided equally between the then existing members of the Company's Board of Directors and the holder's survivor(s) (if more than one person, treated collectively as one person). Upon a temporary mental incapacity of a holder of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, all shares will be voted by the remaining holders of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock until the end of the temporary incapacity.
- The shares are non-transferable, non-assignable, and have no dividend or liquidation rights.

Series B Preferred Stock

At June 30, 2009, there were 37,776 shares of Series B 15% Cumulative Redeemable Non-Voting Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock") outstanding. The rights, terms, and preferences of the outstanding preferred shares, as amended, are as follows:

- The shares have no voting rights.
- Each share's original Stated Value, upon which unpaid dividends may accumulate, is \$1,000.
- The shares have right to dividends at a 15% annual dividend rate, payable in cash or in kind at the end of each fiscal quarter. Accumulated but unpaid dividends shall be cumulative and shall be added to the Stated Value for purposes of subsequent quarterly dividend calculations.
- The shares shall have superior liquidation priority to any other series of the Company's capital stock, equal to the Stated Value plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon.
- The redemption price of the shares must be paid by the Company in cash.
- The Company may incur indebtedness of up to \$18.5 million without consent of the holders of the shares.
- The Company is required to give notice to holders of the shares prior to making any capital expenditures in excess of \$300,000.
- The maturity date of the shares is defined as the first to occur of (a) June 15, 2007, or such later date as agreed to in writing by at least 80% of the Series B Holders, (b) the occurrence of a defined "liquidation event", or (c) the date that is six months following the receipt by the Company or its affiliates of proceeds from one or more financing transactions in excess of \$50 million.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

- If the Company should default in its obligation under the Series B Preferred Stock, the Series B Holders may require the Company to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock by providing written notice three days prior to the requested redemption date.
- At any time after March 1, 2008, the holders of not less than 80% of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock may elect from time to time to have the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock redeemed in whole or in part.
- The Company is required to make pro rata redemptions of the shares in the event the Company receives proceeds from certain financing transactions that exceed \$20 million in the aggregate.

On October 11, 2005, the Series B Holders extended the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock from October 31, 2005 to January 1, 2007. The extension required the following consideration to be paid to the Series B Holders:

- The cancellation on October 11, 2005 of existing warrants issued to the Series B Holders to purchase 2.5 million shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.30 per share.
- The issuance on October 11, 2005 of warrants to purchase 6.85 million shares of the Company's common stock exercisable through January 1, 2009 at an exercise price of \$0.30 per share.
- The issuance of additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock with a redemption value of \$10.7 million face value (10,700 shares) on January 1, 2007, with reductions in the number of shares to be issued allowed for repayments during the extension period of amounts due to the Series B Holders in accordance with an agreed-upon formula.

On February 13, 2007, the Series B Holders further extended the redemption date to May 1, 2007. On May 10, 2007, the Series B Holders agreed to an extension of the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock to June 16, 2007, or such later date as agreed to in writing by at least 80% of the Series B Holders. Subsequently, the Series B Holders agreed in writing to an extension of the redemption date June 16, 2007, to June 30, 2008.

On October 9, 2008, as part of a Note Purchase Agreement between the Company and the Series B Holders, the Company redeemed 36,962 shares of the Series B Preferred Stock with a book value of \$36,962,000, representing the cumulative total of dividends paid in kind through October 9, 2008. Short-term, interest bearing promissory notes totaling \$36,962,000 were issued to the Series B Holders in the redemption. The Series B Holders agreed to an extension of the redemption date of the remaining Series B Stock from June 30, 2008 to April 9, 2009.

The Note Purchase Agreement also provides for the periodic sale by the Company to the lenders of short-term promissory notes to provide the Company with operating capital, as specified in the draw requests for such notes. The draw requests must be approved by the lenders. Through June 30, 2009, the lenders had purchased notes under the Note Purchase Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,367,000 and the proceeds had been used by the Company to cover its minimum cash needs in excess

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

of funding provided by payments from Georgia Tech for the Company's work on the DARPA contract. The lenders and the Company have amended the October 9, 2008 Note Purchase Agreement from time to time to increase the aggregate amount of promissory notes that can be purchased to \$12,750,000, to provide funding to meet the Company's monthly minimum financial needs. The lenders are not obligated to purchase notes pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement and there can be no assurance that the lenders will continue to purchase notes or otherwise provide funding to the Company.

Subsequent to June 30, 2009, the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010, or such later date as agreed to in writing by the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock.

In connection with the execution of the Note Purchase Agreement and the issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock, substantially all assets of the Company, including its intellectual property, have been pledged as collateral for the Company's debt.

The Company has determined that the extension of the redemption date of the original issuance of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock in October 2003 met the criteria of a troubled debt restructuring outlined in ASC Topic 470-60, *Troubled Debt Restructurings by Debtors*. No gain or loss was recorded on the October 2003 extension and subsequent extension of the due date in October 2005. The value of the warrants issued to the Series B Holders in connection with the extensions of the due dates, estimated by the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was charged to interest expense. The Series B Preferred Stock will be classified through its redemption as a troubled debt restructuring.

Included in the periodic interest expense on the Series B Preferred Stock is the accretion of the \$10,700,000 obligation to issue 10,700 shares of additional Series B Preferred Stock on January 1, 2007, calculated on the interest method. The 10,700 additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock were issued in January 2007.

As a result of amendments to the features of the Series B Preferred Stock, if the Company is successful in raising the levels of funding that it requires to bring its debt obligations current, fund its planned operations, and complete aircraft certification requirements for its Hawk 4 gyroplane, significant portions of this funding may be required to make redemption payments on the Series B Preferred Stock. At June 30, 2009, the recorded value of the Series B Preferred Stock was \$37,776,000, which was recorded as a current liability.

In accordance with ASC Topic 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, the Company reports its Series B Preferred Stock as a liability. This pronouncement establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances).

Note 13: Common Stock

During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company did not issue any shares of its common stock or any options and warrants to purchase shares of common stock. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the recorded amount of common stock mount has been increased by \$32,000 for the periodic adjustments

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

to the value of 3,200,000 variable stock options issued during the year ended June 30, 2006 computed using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company also charged a total of \$7,000 to general and administrative expense for the current year vesting of the grant date fair value of 500,000 options issued to a non-employee consultant. In addition, common stock was increased by \$5,000 to adjust finders' compensation on the sale of common stock.

During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued a total of 16,893,858 shares of its restricted common stock, primarily to accredited investors, in private placement offerings exempt from registration. These shares were issued at prices per share of \$0.04 to \$0.20, with the prices per share recorded in these equity transactions approximating the quoted market price of the Company's common stock. The shares were issued for the following consideration: 2,353,661 shares for cash of \$259,000; 2,095,060 shares in payment of accrued expenses of \$138,000; 3,884,106 shares in payment of interest expense of \$499,000; 5,402,271 shares in repayment of debt of \$364,000; 3,059,760 shares in payment of the Company's matching contribution to its 401(k) plan of \$306,000; and 99,000 shares in payment of services of \$10,000. In addition, common stock was increased by \$15,000 to adjust finders' compensation on the sale of common stock. The Company did not use underwriters in the sale or placement of these unregistered shares of common stock.

During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued options to purchase a total of 40,177,921 shares of common stock: 2,367,075 options to investors in connection with the sale of common stock of the Company with exercise prices of \$0.07 to \$0.20 per share exercisable for periods of 1 to 2 years; 6,293,954 options as loan fees or interest expense to lenders with exercise prices of \$0.07 to \$0.20 per share exercisable for a period of 1 to 2 years; and 31,516,892 options to employees with exercise prices of \$0.07 to \$0.17 per share exercisable for a period of 5 to 7 years (granted under the Company's employee stock option plan for which a Form S-8 registration statement has been filed).

During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued warrants to purchase a total of 3,400,000 shares of common stock as loan fees or interest expense to lenders with exercise prices of \$0.16 to \$0.27 per share exercisable for a period of three years.

The Company estimated the combined value of the 6,293,954 options and the 3,400,000 warrants issued to lenders in the year ended June 30, 2008 at \$174,000 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and charged this amount to interest expense. This amount has been reduced by \$76,000 for the periodic adjustments to the value of 3,200,000 variable stock options issued during the year ended June 30, 2006 computed using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company also charged a total of \$8,000 to general and administrative expense for the current year vesting of the grant date fair value of 500,000 options issued to a non-employee consultant.

The Company has issued shares of its common stock as collateral for certain notes payable to related parties. These collateral shares are restricted and bear a legend prohibiting the holder from selling or transferring the shares at any time. The legend will only be removed if the Company is in default on the applicable loan, at which time, a new certificate will be issued and a value recorded for the shares to account for the loan and accrued interest settled. In addition, the terms of the notes payable require the holder of the collateral shares to return the shares to the Company when the applicable loan and accrued interest are paid in full. To date, the Company has not defaulted on any loan where common stock has been pledged as collateral. Under this assumption, the Company believes it is appropriate to

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

not assign any value to the collateral shares issued and to not include these shares in the calculation of loss per share. At June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company had issued 5,350,000 shares of common stock as collateral.

The conversion prices per share of the convertible notes payable disclosed in Note 9 were based on the cash price per common share paid in private placement transactions on or near the date the debt agreements were negotiated. The cash price per common share and the conversion prices per share approximated the quoted market price per share of the Company's common stock on or near the date the note agreements were negotiated. The conversion prices per share have all been set at the market price of the common stock, or above the market price whenever possible, with market price typically established at the price per share that the Company was selling restricted common shares for cash at the time. Because the conversion price per share was generally "under water" in substantially all of these transactions, the Company concluded that the conversion terms did not represent a beneficial conversion feature. Therefore, no beneficial conversion features have been accounted for in the Company's consolidated financial statements for these transactions.

Note 14: Stock Option Plan and Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this standard, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and recognized over the period in which the award vests. The stock-based compensation expense for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 has been allocated to the various categories of operating costs and expenses in a manner similar to the allocation of payroll expense as follows:

	2009	2008
Cost of sales	\$ 133,000	\$ 176,000
Research and development	53,000	386,000
General and administrative	124,000	139,000
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 310,000	\$ 701,000

There was no stock compensation expense capitalized during the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

As of June 30, 2009, the total future compensation cost related to non-vested stock-options not yet recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations was approximately \$373,000, and the weighted average period over which these awards are expected to be recognized was 0.92 years.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

During the year ended June 30, 2009, no new stock options or warrants were issued. The following table summarizes the stock option and warrant activity during the year ended June 30, 2009:

	Options and Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contract Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	73,885,000	\$ 0.28		
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	-	-		
Cancelled	(9,555,000)	0.21		
Expired	<u>(12,377,000)</u>	0.23		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	<u>51,953,000</u>	0.29	1.82	\$ 0
Options and warrants vested and exercisable at June 30, 2009	<u>43,928,000</u>	0.32	1.33	\$ 0

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pretax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$0.02 as of June 30, 2009, which would have been received by the holders of in-the-money options had the option holders exercised their options as of that date.

Under the Company's 2000 amended and restated stock option plan (Plan), there are 60 million shares that are authorized for stock options. The Company may issue both non-qualifying stock options and qualifying incentive stock options. All stock options have an exercise price that is not less than 100 percent of the fair market value on the date of the grant. While expiration dates vary on particular grants, no stock options may be exercised more than ten years after the date of grant. Qualifying incentive stock options are granted only to employees, while non-qualifying options may be granted to employees, directors, and non-employees.

On May 17, 2002 and June 10, 2005, the Company filed Form S-8 Registration Statements ("Registration") for the Plan under the Securities Act of 1933. Options granted under the Plan prior to the filing of the 2002 Registration can only be exercised for restricted common stock as defined under the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 144. Options granted under the Plan after the 2002 Registration can be exercised for unrestricted and free trading common stock.

The Company's Board of Directors may also authorize the issuance of other stock options and warrants outside of the Plan.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Note 15: Income Taxes

The benefit (provision) for income taxes differs from the amount computed at the federal statutory rate as follows:

	Years Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Income tax benefit at federal statutory rate	\$ 6,284,000	\$ 7,736,000
Series B preferred stock interest expense	(2,591,000)	(3,636,000)
Stock and stock options issued for services and interest	(137,000)	(543,000)
Research and development credit	-	152,000
Other	(222,000)	(4,000)
Change in valuation allowance	(3,334,000)	(3,705,000)
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009	2008
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 29,070,000	\$ 27,108,000
Research and development credit carryforward	2,379,000	2,379,000
Accrued interest payable	4,433,000	2,849,000
Accrued payroll and related	2,266,000	2,438,000
Deferred revenue	101,000	101,000
Other	15,000	15,000
Depreciation	98,000	138,000
Inventories	115,000	115,000
Valuation allowance	(38,477,000)	(35,143,000)
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

At June 30, 2009, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future taxable income of approximately \$75 million which will begin to expire in fiscal year 2010. The utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards is dependent upon the tax laws in effect at the time the net operating loss carryforwards can be utilized. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 limits the annual amount that can be utilized for certain of these carryforwards as a result of changes in ownership of the

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Company. An ownership change may have occurred which may severely impact the utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards.

Due to uncertainties surrounding the realization of all carryforwards and currently non-deductible accruals, a valuation allowance has been established to offset the net deferred income tax asset resulting from such deferred tax items.

ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*, requires a company to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination based upon the technical merits of the position. If the more-likely-than-non threshold is met, a company must measure the tax position to determine the amount to recognize in the financial statements. For the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company performed a review of its material tax positions in accordance with recognition and measurement standards established by ASC Topic 740. The Company has no unrecognized tax benefit which would affect the effective tax rate if recognized.

The Company classifies interest and penalties arising from the underpayment of income taxes in the consolidated statements of operations under general and administrative expenses. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and certain state jurisdictions. All federal net operating loss carry forwards as of June 30, 2009 are subject to examination.

Note 16: Supplemental Statement of Cash Flows Information

During the year ended June 30, 2009 the Company had the following non-cash investing and financing activities:

- Decreased related party notes receivable through reduction of accrued expenses payable to related parties of \$1,000.
- Decreased property and equipment and decreased accounts payable by \$19,000 for property and equipment returned to vendor.
- Decreased accrued expenses and increased common stock by \$5,000 for changes to accrued finders' compensation.
- Increased related party notes payable and decreased Series B Preferred Stock by \$36,962,000 upon partial redemption of Series B Preferred Stock.
- Increased related party notes payable and decreased accounts payable by \$867,000.
- Increased property and equipment and accounts payable by \$4,000.

During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company:

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

- Decreased related party notes receivable through reduction of accrued expenses payable to related parties of \$10,000.
- Decreased inventory and increased property and equipment by \$17,000.
- Acquired property and equipment of \$78,000 through the issuance of accounts payable.
- Issued debt to acquire property and equipment of \$5,000.
- Decreased property and equipment and debt by \$5,000.
- Issued 2,095,060 shares of common stock in payment of accrued expenses of \$138,000.
- Increased common stock and decreased accrued expenses by \$15,000.
- Increased debt and decreased accrued expenses by \$804,000 for the reclassification of interest payable.
- Issued 5,402,271 shares of common stock in repayment of debt of \$364,000.
- Increased accrued expenses and decreased deferred revenue by \$260,000.
- Decreased related party accounts and notes receivable by \$26,000, decreased accrued expenses by \$6,000, and decreased related party long-term debt by \$20,000.
- Decreased related party accounts and notes receivable and accrued expenses by \$22,000.

Actual cash paid for interest was \$77,000 and \$79,000 in fiscal years 2009 and 2008, respectively.

No amounts were paid for income taxes in the fiscal years 2008 and 2007.

17: Operating Lease Obligations

The Company leases certain property, vehicles and facilities under noncancellable operating leases. Future minimum rental payments required under these leases are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,

2010	\$ 271,000
2011	73,000
	\$ 344,000

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

Rental expense for non-cancellable operating leases was \$293,000 and \$348,000 for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Note 18: 401(k) Saving Plan

The Company has a 401(k) Plan (the Plan) to provide retirement and incidental benefits for its employees. Employees may contribute from 1% to 25% of their gross pay to the Plan, limited to a maximum annual amount as set periodically by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company may contribute a matching contribution at a rate set by the Board of Directors. The Plan operates on a calendar year basis. The Company made no matching contribution to the Plan in fiscal year 2009. In fiscal year 2008, based on contributions by employees during calendar year 2007, the Company made a matching contribution to the Plan of \$306,000 in the form of shares of its common stock. Shares issued under the Plan are “restricted” as defined under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Note 19: Commitments and Contingencies

Royalty payments of 1% of the gross sales price of gyroplanes are to be paid to the Company’s founders, David Groen and the estate of the late Jay Groen. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, royalties payable totaled \$16,000 to each of these parties. The royalty expense is included in cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations.

The Company has royalty agreements with two holders of notes payable totaling \$300,000 which entitle the note holders to receive royalties on the sales by the Company of certain gyroplanes other than the SparrowHawk gyroplane. The royalties are calculated on each aircraft sold, and are limited to a combined maximum total of \$1.3 million.

The Company has a royalty agreement with an investor entitling the investor to receive royalties equal to \$2,500 for each Hawk 4 Gyroplane sold, limited to a maximum total of \$125,000.

The Company has various agreements to compensate individuals and companies with finders’ compensation up to 10% for securing debt and equity financing for the Company.

The Company is subject to various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, including certain matters relating to past due amounts due creditors. The past due amounts are recorded as liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet, and management of the Company believes that the amount, if any, that may result from other claims will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 20: Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company’s financial instruments consist of cash, receivables, payables, and notes payable. The carrying amount of cash, receivables and payables approximates fair value because of the short-term

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

nature of these items. The aggregate carrying amount of the notes payable approximates fair value as the individual borrowings bear interest at market interest rates.

Note 21: Joint Venture

The Company has held meetings with several agencies in China in relation to the use of the SparrowHawk and its technology in China, and in May 2009, entered into a non-binding Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a Chinese Company, with the objective of setting up a joint venture (“JV”) in China to produce fully-assembled Light Gyroplanes, focused on production of SparrowHawks, initially for power line patrol.

Note 22: Subsequent Events

Series B Preferred Stock – Subsequent to June 30, 2009, the redemption date of the Series B Preferred Stock has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010, or such later date as agreed to in writing by the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock.

The lenders and the Company have amended the October 9, 2008 Note Purchase Agreement from time to time to increase the aggregate amount of promissory notes that can be purchased to \$12,750,000 to provide funding to meet the Company’s monthly minimum financial needs. The lenders are not obligated to purchase notes pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement and there can be no assurance that the lenders will continue to purchase notes or otherwise provide funding to the Company.

Debt Financing

Subsequent to June 30, 2009 through June 21, 2010, the Company has received net proceeds from debt financing pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement of approximately \$6.2 million, of which \$3.5 million was used to partially redeem Series B Preferred Stock.

The maturity date for the 2006/2007 Notes, the Dividend Notes and the Note Purchase Notes has been extended from time to time and currently is October 9, 2010.

Joint Venture

Negotiations related to the MOU for a possible joint venture in China subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2009 resulted in a non-binding “Terms and Conditions” agreement for the setting up of the JV and, as of the issuance of this report, an agreement has been reached and agreed to by both parties. Signing of this agreement by both parties is expected shortly. Under the terms of this anticipated agreement, the parties will prepare an application to the appropriate government authorities to set up the JV. Upon the establishment of this JV, the Company, through a special purpose subsidiary, expects to receive 25% of the equity in the JV in return for its contribution to the JV of its SparrowHawk III assets and rights to SparrowHawk designs and defined SparrowHawk derivatives. The Company can give no assurance that the parties will obtain approval from the relevant Chinese authority to establish the JV.

GROEN BROTHERS AVIATION, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Continued)

On July 13, 2010, the Company formed Groen Brothers Aviation International, LLC, a wholly owned special purpose subsidiary to participate in the JV.